













Southern California Association of Governments

2020/21 Sustainable Communities Program – Housing and Sustainable Development (HSD) Application

| City/County Name: | |
|-------------------|--|
| Project Name: | |

Project Information

| Α. | Project Name: Agency Name: Department: City/County: Project Manager: Title: Email: Addtl. Contact: | | Phone: |
|----|---|---|------------------------|
| В. | , | | |
| | Project Start Date: | F | Project End Date: |
| | Subregion or COG | | County: |
| | Estimated Amount: | \$ 0.00 | |
| | this project, please list Partner Name: Partner Name: Partner Name: | Role: | |
| | Partner Name: | | |
| C. | | short summary of your project that includ description of the scope of your project. | · |
| | Enter Text Here | | (300 Character Ellint) |

D. Background Information

- 1. The Connect SoCal HSD Program aspires to increase and accelerate housing production for all household types at all <u>income levels</u> in Southern California. In addition, the program aims to integrate and align housing goals with the region's adopted <u>Sustainable Communities Strategy (SCS)</u>. Connect SoCal's "Core Vision," which is wholly integrated within the SCS, prioritizes maintaining and better managing the transportation network, while expanding mobility choices by locating housing, jobs and transit closer together. All applicants are encouraged to review and align proposals with the recommended strategies, which can be found in the Sustainable Communities Strategy (SCS) Technical Report. Please list the strategies your project will implement:
 - Accessory Dwelling Unit Strategies:
 - Housing Sustainability District Strategies:
 - Workforce Housing Opportunity Strategies:
 - Housing Supportive Tax Increment Financing Strategies:
 - Objective Development Standards Strategies:
 - Streamlined Housing Strategies:
 - Prohousing Strategies:
 - Parking Innovation Strategies:
 - Other Strategies:

E. Funding Administration

1. The funding will be managed by SCAG. The City/County will assign a project manager and assume responsibility for the timely use of funds. See the 2021 Housing and Sustainable Development Program Guidelines for details on programming, allocation, evaluation and reporting. The Sustainable Communities Program will not provide direct grant funding to applicants for Housing and Sustainable Development projects, but will instead provide a combination of consultant services, SCAG staff resources, and direct technical assistance to complete planning projects in close collaboration with cities and counties. SCAG will work with the awarded agency to complete necessary procurement and contracting. SCAG staff will serve as the administrative project manager, manage the contract and pay for all costs incurred. SCAG may bundle similar projects together in a common contract.

Application: Objective Development Standards for Streamlined Housing, Prohousing Designation Program and Parking Innovation

| ☐ Objective Streamline | of project activity that you are proposing. Yo Development Standards for ed Housing g Designation Program | ou may mark more Parking Inn Other | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
|------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| A. Project Ne | ed (Total 55 pts) | | |
| | o increased housing production through obj | • | |
| Pro | es your community have any Objective Develo housing Designation Program or Parking Inno nin the last 5 years? | | |
| Yes | No | | |
| Maı | rk all that your community currently has: | | |
| | Туре | Year Completed | Link |
| | Objective Development Standards for Streamlined Housing | | |
| | Prohousing Designation Program | | |
| | Parking Innovation | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

^{*}If your plan is not currently available online, please submit a PDF of the document with your application.

Please describe the planning gap and additional planning needs that you hope to fill with the proposed project and the desired impact on improving housing production.

| Provide a short description of the scope of your project. | (3000 Character Limit) |
|--|--|
| Enter Text Here. | - |
| Litter rextriere. | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| Describe the state of objective development standards, p | prohousing designation program an |
| | |
| parking innovation programs in your jurisdiction. What ord | linances or programs currently exist |
| How will this plan support goals for both equitable in | frastructure and non-infrastructur |
| | mastractare and non initiastractar |
| | |
| | alk audit results to support response |
| | ralk audit results to support response |
| but they are not required). | alk audit results to support response |
| but they are not required). | alk audit results to support response |
| but they are not required). Open Ended (3000 character limit) | ralk audit results to support response |
| but they are not required). Open Ended (3000 character limit) | alk audit results to support response |
| but they are not required). Open Ended (3000 character limit) | alk audit results to support response |
| but they are not required). Open Ended (3000 character limit) | alk audit results to support response |
| but they are not required). Open Ended (3000 character limit) | ralk audit results to support response |
| but they are not required). Open Ended (3000 character limit) | ralk audit results to support response |
| but they are not required). Open Ended (3000 character limit) | ralk audit results to support response |
| but they are not required). Open Ended (3000 character limit) | alk audit results to support response |
| but they are not required). Open Ended (3000 character limit) | alk audit results to support response |
| but they are not required). Open Ended (3000 character limit) | alk audit results to support response |
| but they are not required). Open Ended (3000 character limit) | alk audit results to support response |
| but they are not required). Open Ended (3000 character limit) | ralk audit results to support response |
| but they are not required). | ralk audit results to support response |
| but they are not required). Open Ended (3000 character limit) | alk audit results to support response |
| but they are not required). Open Ended (3000 character limit) | alk audit results to support response |
| but they are not required). Open Ended (3000 character limit) | alk audit results to support response |
| but they are not required). Open Ended (3000 character limit) | ralk audit results to support response |
| but they are not required). Open Ended (3000 character limit) | ralk audit results to support response |
| | ralk audit results to support response |
| but they are not required). Open Ended (3000 character limit) | alk audit results to support response |
| but they are not required). Open Ended (3000 character limit) | ralk audit results to support response |
| but they are not required). Open Ended (3000 character limit) | ralk audit results to support response |
| but they are not required). Open Ended (3000 character limit) | ralk audit results to support response |
| but they are not required). Open Ended (3000 character limit) | ralk audit results to support response |

| Points | Nexus to increased housing production through objective development standards for streamlined housing, achieving a Prohousing designation, or parking innovation | Score |
|-------------------|--|-------------------------|
| 20 - 30 Points | Agency/Community has no objective development standards, Prohousing designation programs, or parking innovation strategies or has not updated these plans in more than 5 years. | For Reviewer Only |
| 10-20 Points | Agency/community completed some objective development standards, Prohousing designation programs, or parking innovation strategies, and project sponsor provides comprehensive justification for the need for additional planning. | For Reviewer Only |
| 0-10 Points | Agency/community has updated objective development standards, Prohousing designation programs, or parking innovation strategies, and/or presents limited justification to support the need for more planning. | For Reviewer Only |

2. SCS Implementation – Project Need (15 points)

Describe the level of continuity between current planning strategies in your jurisdiction and Connect SoCal's Sustainable Community Strategy. What SCS goals and strategies would this project help implement?

| Open Ende | ed (3000 character limit) | | | | |
|------------|---------------------------|-------|--|--|--|
| Enter Text | nter Text Here. | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Points | SCS Implementation | Score | | | |

| Points | SCS implementation | Score |
|-------------|--|------------------|
| 11-15 | Applicant supports implementation of 5 or more SCS policies AND | For |
| Points | clearly describes how the project will significantly support SCS | Reviewer |
| | implementation. | Office |
| 5-10 Points | Applicant supports implementation of 2-4 SCS policies AND | For |
| | somewhat describes how the project will support SCS | Reviewer Only |
| | implementation. | Only |

| 0 – 4 | Applicant supports implantation of 0-1 SCS policies AND does not | For |
|--------|--|----------|
| Points | describe how the project will support SCS Implementation | Reviewer |
| | | Only |

3. Disadvantaged Community Need – Project Need (10 Points)

Number of Census Tracts:

Points

Applicants shall describe how their project benefits a disadvantaged community. Use the definitions for Environmental Justice Area, Communities of Concern, and SB 535 Disadvantaged Areas identified in the Connect SoCal Environmental Justice Technical Report. List all Census Tract data below. Additionally, provide a map as an attachment to the application using the following link: https://bit.ly/SCP_EJTool

| a. | Census Tract: |
|----|-----------------------------------|
| b. | Environmental Justice Area: |
| c. | Community of Concern: |
| d. | SB 535 Disadvantaged Area: |
| | Open Ended (3000 character limit) |
| | Enter Text Here. |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | L |

Score

For Reviewer

Applicant provides clear examples of how project will significantly improve communities of disadvantaged areas listed above.

Disadvantaged Community Need

| Applicant provides few or no examples of how project would impact disadvantaged areas listed above. Project provides minor or no impact to communities of disadvantaged areas. | For Reviewer Only |
|--|-------------------------|
| to communities of disadvantaged areas. | Only |

B. Scope of Work and Project Outcomes (30 points)

4. Estimated housing production potential – Scope of Work and Project Outcomes (15 Points)

Describe how this project will lead to increased housing production. Please provide estimation of housing potential if possible. How will this project impact community housing needs (affordability, cultural, other)? Applicant should clearly describe how project will increase housing potential while implementing anti-displacement strategies.

| Open Ended (3000 character limit) | | | |
|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Enter Text Here. | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

| Points | Estimated housing production potential | Score |
|-----------------|--|-------------------------|
| 11-15 Points | Project plan or program provides significant increase in housing production. | For Reviewer Only |
| 6-10 Points | Project plan or program provides moderate increase in housing production. | For Reviewer Only |
| 0-5 Points | Project plan or program provides minimal increase in housing production. | For Reviewer Only |

5. SCS Implementation – Scope of Work and Project Outcomes (10 Points)

Provide the <u>Healthy Places Index</u> score for the where the project will be completed. For area wide plans use the census tract score, for citywide plans use the city score (the geography can be changed in the "California Healthy Places Index" box to the right of the screen). If data is not available at your project level, use the smallest geography available. If you need assistance with finding data for this question, contact your county health department.

| Geographic Unit of Data Provided: | |
|-----------------------------------|--|
| Healthy Places Index Score: | |

| Points SCS Implementation | Score |
|---------------------------|-------|
|---------------------------|-------|

| 6-10 Points | Score is equal to or below 25. | For Reviewer Only |
|-------------|---|-------------------------|
| 0-5 Points | Score is equal to or less than 50 but more than 25. | For Reviewer Only |

6. Supporting AFFH policies – Scope of Work and Project Outcomes (5 points)

Describe how this project affects affirmatively furthering fair housing policies and objectives as stated in HCD Guidelines (AB686). Please describe in detail the level of effort the project will implement to affirmatively further fair housing policies and objectives.

| Open Ended (3000 character limit) | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Enter Text Here. | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

| Points | Supporting AFFH policies | Score |
|------------|--|-----------------|
| 3-5 Points | Applicant describes significant effort by project to affirmatively further | For Reviewer |
| | fair housing policies and objectives. | Only |
| 0-2 Points | Applicant describes minimal or no effort by project to affirmatively | For Reviewer |
| | further fair housing policies and objectives. | Only |

C. Partnerships and Engagement (15pts)

7. Partnerships - Partnerships and Engagement (5 points)

Describe how you will engage partners and community stakeholders to improve the project outcomes. Provide three (3) letters of commitment to demonstrate these partnerships. These letters of commitment should demonstrate how other agencies or stakeholder groups will contribute resources to the project's success. Stakeholder groups can include, but not be limited to the following:

- Youth/Senior Group
- School District
- City Agency
- Councils of Governments
- Transit Agency
- Community Based Organization
- Faith Based Organization

- Chamber of Commerce/Business Group
- Advocacy Group (Social Equity, Health, Environment, etc.)
- Tenant Groups
- Housing Groups

| Open Ended (3000 character limit) | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Enter Text Here. | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

| Points | Partnerships | Score |
|------------|--|-------------------------|
| 3-5 Points | Project provides minimum required letters of commitment and has multiple partners, workshops, or other engagement planned. | For Reviewer Only |
| 0-2 Points | Project does not provide enough required letters of commitment and does not include multiple partners, workshops, or other engagement planned. | For Reviewer Only |

8. Inclusive, Diverse, and Equitable Community Engagement - Partnerships and Engagement (10 points)

Describe innovative approaches for engagement that will be included in your project. Include target audiences, outreach and engagement strategies and desired outcomes. Applicant should include non-traditional strategies for reaching members of disadvantaged communities and non-English speaking populations, including low tech strategies to address the digital divide.

| Open Ended (3000 character limit) | | |
|-----------------------------------|---|-------------------------|
| Enter Text H | | |
| Points | Inclusive Diverse and Equitable Community Engagement | Score |
| 8-10 Points | Project includes robust and innovative outreach strategies that will engage identified target audience. | For Reviewer Only |
| 4-7 Points | Project includes meaningful moderate outreach and includes outreach strategies to reach identified target audience. | For Reviewer Only |
| 0-3 Point | Project includes minimal or limited outreach strategies. | For Reviewer Only |

Attachment 1

Scoring Matrix

| Scoring Criteria | Points Possible | Points Received |
|--|-----------------|-----------------|
| Focus Area A: Project Need | 55 Points | |
| Nexus to increased housing production through objective development standards for streamlined housing, achieving a Prohousing designation, or parking innovation | 30 | |
| SCS Implementation | 15 | |
| Disadvantaged Community Need | 10 | |
| Focus Area B: Project Desired Outcomes | 30 Points | |
| Estimated housing production potential | 15 | |
| SCS Implementation | 10 | |
| Supporting AFFH policies | 5 | |
| Focus Area C: Partnerships and Engagement | 15 Points | |
| Partnerships | 5 | |
| Inclusive Diverse and Equitable Community Engagement | 10 | |

| Reviewer's Notes | | |
|------------------|--|--|
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

Attachment 2

Letters of

Commitment

Appendix A

Disadvantaged Communities Definitions

Environmental Justice Areas: Environmental Justice Areas are reflected in Transportation Analysis Zones that show a higher share of minority population or households in poverty than is seen in the greater region as a whole. These are included as part of SCAG's Regional Disadvantaged Communities Definition.

Communities of Concern: Communities of Concern are Census Designated Places or city of Los Angeles Community Planning Ares that fall in the upper third for their concentration of minority population households in poverty. The severity of this designation is significant due to the degree of poverty. SCAG's Regional Disadvantaged Communities Definition.

SB 535 Disadvantaged Communities (DAC): Census tracts that have been identified by the California Environmental Protection Agency (Cal/ EPA) as Disadvantaged Communities based on the requirements set forth in SB 535, which seek to identify disproportionately burdened by and vulnerable to multiple sources of pollution