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SCAG Activity Based Model

## Person types

4.Activity Generation-Allocation


## ABM Basic Concepts

Synthetic Population Model (PopSyn) generates socioeconomic input data to SCAG ABM.

- Long-term choice (LTC) model generates additional input variables for workers and students, including school/work location, worker's characteristics on weekly work duration, work schedule flexibility, and number of jobs.
- LTC output are important variables to short-term choice models, particularly on mandatory tour and trip scheduling models.


# Long-term Choice: Worker and Student 

## Workers

- 16 years old or older.

SCAG region has about 7 million workers in 2012; $39 \%$ of total population of SCAG region.

## Students

- About 5 million, 28\% of total population

Are categorized by 1) Preschool, 2) Grade K-8, 3) Grade 9-12, and 4) College/University

## Long Term Choice Models

## Five Sub-Models for Students and Workers

- Preschool Arrangement Model
- Usual School Location
- Work Arrangement
- Usual Work Location
- Work Scheduling Flexibility

|  | 2. Long-term Choices |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2.0 Preschool Arrangement | 2.1 Usual School Location | 2.2 Work Arrangement | 2.3 Usual Work Location | 2.4 Work Scheduling Flexibility |

## Survey Data Analysis



## 1. Work Arrangement Model

The work arrangement model predicts workers'

1) weekly work hours, 2) number of jobs, and
2) workplace type.

- Weekly work hours
- Hours... 1-20, 21-34, 35+
- Workers' number of jobs
- One job, multiple jobs
- Primary workplace location type

Fixed work place, work at home, variable work place

## Weekly Work Duration

- ACS: Weighted to Regional Workers, used as control.
- HTS: For data/model analysis.
$<=20$ hrs. 21-34 hrs. >=35 hrs. All

| ACS | 10 | 12 | 78 | 100 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HTS | 10 | 8 | 82 | 100 |

## Weekly Work Duration- By Industry

## \% of Part-Time Worker by Industry



## Weekly Work Duration - by Personal Characteristics

78\% of male workers work for 35 hours or more per week.

A worker who is female, younger age, and/or student is less likely to work for fulltime job.
\% of workers

|  | $<=\mathbf{2 0}$ <br> hrs. | $\mathbf{2 1} \mathbf{- 3 4}$ <br> hrs. | >=35 <br> hrs. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gender |  |  |  |
| Male | 13 | 9 | 78 |
| Female | 21 | 15 | 64 |
| Age |  |  |  |
| $16-29$ | 24 | 16 | 60 |
| $30-44$ | 16 | 13 | 71 |
| $45-64$ | 15 | 11 | 75 |
| $\mathbf{>} 65$ | 14 | 10 | 76 |
| Student Status |  |  |  |
| Not Student | 14 | 11 | 75 |
| Student | 36 | 22 | 42 |

## Weekly Work Duration - by Household Characteristics

- Not significant difference between workers with/ wo kids
- A worker from lowincome household is less likely to work for full-time job.
\% of workers

|  | $<=20$ <br> hrs. | 21-34 <br> hrs. | $\mathbf{> = 3 5}$ <br> hrs. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Household with Kids |  |  |  |
| No Kids | 17 | 12 | 70 |
| With Kids | 15 | 11 | 73 |
| Household income |  |  |  |
| 1_ <35K | 25 | 18 | 58 |
| 2_35-50K | 16 | 14 | 70 |
| 3_50-75K | 15 | 12 | 72 |
| 4_ 100-150K | 15 | 11 | 74 |
| 5_ > 150K | 13 | 9 | 77 |

## Multiple J obholder

- According to data from Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS), for multiple jobholders as a percentage of total workers, California is $4.2 \%$ in 2012 (+/- 0.3\% with 90\% CI)
- The assumption for SCAG region is 4.5\% (based on special survey from Current Population Survey - 1998).

Multiple jobholders is about 6.7\% from HTS

## Number of J obs - BLS Data

- Younger, single tend to have higher \% of multiple jobs


## HOUSEHOLD DATA

## ANNUAL AVERAGES

36. Multiple jobholders by selected characteristics
[Numbers in thousands]

| Characteristic | Total |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number |  | Rate(1) |  |
|  | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |
| Total, 16 years and over(2) | 6,943 | 7,002 | 4.9 | 4.9 |
| 16 to 19 years | 178 | 198 | 4.0 | 4.4 |
| 20 years and over | 6,765 | 6,805 | 4.9 | 4.9 |
| 20 to 24 years | 725 | 789 | 5.4 | 5.8 |
| 25 years and over | 6,040 | 6,016 | 4.8 | 4.8 |
| 25 to 54 years | 4,639 | 4,639 | 4.9 | 4.9 |
| 55 years and over | 1,400 | 1,377 | 4.6 | 4.4 |
| 55 to 64 years | 1,136 | 1,108 | 4.9 | 4.7 |
| 65 years and over | 264 | 269 | 3.6 | 3.5 |
| RACE AND HISPANIC OR LATINO ETHNICITY |  |  |  |  |
| White | 5,756 | 5,751 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Black or African American | 709 | 755 | 4.5 | 4.7 |
| Asian | 249 | 267 |  | 3.3 |
| Hispanic or Latino ethnicity | 668 | 717 | ) 3.1 | 3.2 |
| MARITAL STATUS |  |  |  |  |
| Married, spouse present | 3,683 | 3,607 | 4.7 | 4.6 |
| Widowed, divorced, or separated | 1,229 | 1,198 | 5.3 | 5.2 |
| Never married | 2,031 | 2,197 | 5.0 | 5.2 |
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## Workers' Number of J obs - by Industry

## \% of Workers with Multiple J obs



## Workers' Number of J obs - by Personal Characteristics

\% of workers

A working student is more likely to have multiple jobs

- Other personal characteristics do not show significant relationship with the number of jobs.

Single Job Multiple Jobs

| Gender |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 94 | 6 |
| Female | 93 | 7 |
| Age |  |  |
| $16-29$ | 93 | 7 |
| $30-44$ | 93 | 7 |
| $45-64$ | 93 | 7 |
| $65-99$ | 94 | 6 |
| Student Status |  |  |
| Not Student | 93 | 7 |
| Student | 90 | $\mathbf{1 0}$ |

## Workers' Number of J obs - by Household Characteristics

- Household characteristics shown in this table do not show a significant relationship with the number of jobs.
\% of workers

|  | Single | Multiple |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Household with Kids |  |  |
| No Kids | 93 | 7 |
| With Kids | 93 | 7 |
| Household income |  |  |
| 1_ <35K | 94 | 6 |
| 2_ 35-50K | 92 | 8 |
| 3_50-75K | 93 | 7 |
| 4_100-150K | 92 | 8 |
| 5_ $>150 \mathrm{~K}$ | 93 | 7 |

## Primary Work Location

- Work Location Data from HTS:
- Fixed work location: 87.1\%

Variable work location: 11.6\%

- Work at Home: 1.3\%
- According to ACS data, \% of workers who work at home is about 5\% for SCAG region.


## \% Work Location - by Industry

|  | Industry | Fixed | Variable | Home |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AgMi | Agriculture/Mining | 77 | 22 | 1 |
| ArtF | Arts/Food Service | 86 | 12 | 2 |
| CoUt | Construction/Utility | 69 | 30 | 2 |
| EdHs | Education/Health/Social Service | 87 | 11 | 1 |
| FIRE | Finance, Insurance, Real Estate | 83 | 11 | $\mathbf{6}$ |
| InBS | Information, Business Service | 84 | 12 | 4 |
| MaWh | Manufacturing, Warehouse | 92 | 6 | 1 |
| PA | Public Administration | 92 | 7 | 1 |
| ReOt | Retail, Other Service | 86 | 12 | 2 |

## \% Work at Home By I ndustry - ACS

|  |  | \% Work at Home (WAH) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |
| All | 3.6 | 4.8 |
| Agriculture; Mining | 4.7 | 3.5 |
| Construction | 2.3 | 4.1 |
| Manufacturing | 1.7 | 2.4 |
| Wholesale | 3.7 | 5.5 |
| Retail | 2.4 | 3.0 |
| Transportation; Utility | 1.3 | 2.3 |
| Information and Communications | 4.7 | 6.7 |
| Finance, Insurance, Real Estate | 6.0 | 7.8 |
| Business Service | 7.0 | 9.0 |
| Education/Health | 3.8 | 4.6 |
| Arts/Entertainment/Hospitality | 3.2 | 3.2 |
| Other Service | 5.2 | 5.8 |
| Public Administration | 1.4 | 3.7 |

## Work Location <br> - by Personal Characteristics

Male workers are more likely to work at variable location than female workers.

- Other personal characteristics do not show a significant relationship with work location.
\% of workers

|  | Fixed | Home | Variable |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gender |  |  |  |
| Male | 82 | 3 | 16 |
| Female | 86 | 3 | 11 |
| Age | 84 | 3 | 13 |
| $16-29$ | 84 | 3 | 13 |
| $30-44$ | 84 | 3 | 13 |
| $45-64$ | 85 | 2 | 13 |
| $65+$ |  |  |  |
| Student Status | 84 | 3 | 13 |
| Not Student | 85 | 2 | 14 |
| Student |  |  |  |

## Work Location <br> - by Household Characteristics

- Workers with higher HH income tend to work at fixed location than those with lower HH income.
- Lowest income workers have highest \% on variable location
\% of workers

|  | Fixed | Home | Variable |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Household with Kids |  |  |  |
| No Kids | 84 | 3 | 13 |
| With Kids | 84 | 2 | 14 |
| Household income |  |  |  |
| 1_ <35K | 75 | 4 | 21 |
| 2_35-50K | 83 | 3 | 13 |
| 3_50-75K | 84 | 3 | 13 |
| 4_100-150K | 85 | 3 | 12 |
| 5_ $>150 \mathrm{~K}$ | 87 | 3 | 11 |

## 2. Work Schedule Flexibility Model

The work schedule \& flexibility model predicts

1) number of work days per week, 2) work flexibility.

- Number of Work Days per Week

1 day, 2 days, 3 days, 4 days, 5+ days

- Flexible Work Schedule
- None, Moderate, High


## Number of Work Days per Week - by Industry

More likely work for 5+ days per week:
FIRE

- Manufacturing/Warehouse

Less likely work for 5+ days:

- Education/Health
- Retail/ Other Service

4 Days for PA employee

- 9 hours/day - 9/80
\% of workers

|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AgMi | 2 | 2 | 5 | 8 | 83 |
| ArtF | 2 | 5 | 10 | 12 | 70 |
| CoUt | 2 | 3 | 6 | 8 | 81 |
| EdHs | 2 | 5 | 10 | 10 | 73 |
| FIRE | 2 | 4 | 6 | 7 | $\mathbf{8 1}$ |
| InBS | 2 | 4 | 7 | 8 | 79 |
| MaWh | 1 | 1 | 4 | 7 | 87 |
| PA | 1 | 2 | 6 | $\mathbf{1 7}$ | 75 |
| ReOt | 2 | 4 | 9 | 13 | 72 |
| Total | 2 | 4 | 8 | 10 | 76 |

## Weekly Work Days vs Work Hours

- Workers working more hours are more likely to work for more days.
- The two variables (weekly work hours and work days) are used to estimate work duration of a weekday, as primary input variables to model work start time/end time.

Work Day Distribution by Weekly Hours
\% of workers

|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{< = 2 0}$ hrs. | 9 | 18 | 21 | 14 | 39 |
| $\mathbf{2 1 - 3 4}$ hrs. |  | 3 | 21 | 27 | 50 |
| $>=\mathbf{3 5}$ hrs. |  |  | 4 | 7 | 89 |

## Flexible Work Schedule - By Industry

|  | \% of workers |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Low Flexibility (need to |  | Low | Med | High |
| arrival at work on time): | AgMi | 46 | 36 | 18 |
| Public Administration, | ArtF | 35 | 46 | 19 |
| Agriculture/Mining, | Cout | 41 | 39 | 20 |
| Education/Health/Social | EdHs | 47 | 39 | 13 |
| Sevvices, and | FIRE | 24 | 43 | 33 |
| Manufacturing and Warehouse. | InBS | 23 | 49 | 28 |
| High Flexibility: | MaWh | 45 | 40 | 15 |
| Financial, insurance, Real Estate | PA | 48 | 41 | 11 |
| Information/Business Services | ReOt | 34 | 45 | 21 |
|  | Total | 38 | 42 | 19 |

## Flexible Work Schedule - by Personal Characteristics

Personal characteristics do not show significant relationships with Work Schedule.

## \% of workers

|  | Low | Med | High |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gender |  |  |  |
| Male | 37 | 42 | 21 |
| Female | 39 | 42 | 19 |
| Age |  |  |  |
| $16-29$ | 40 | 43 | 17 |
| $30-44$ | 38 | 43 | 20 |
| $45-64$ | 37 | 42 | 21 |
| $65-99$ | 38 | 42 | 21 |
| Student Status |  |  |  |
| Not Student | 38 | 42 | 20 |
| Student | 38 | 45 | 17 |

## Flexible Work Schedule - Household Characteristics

\% of workers

Workers with highest HH income tend to have higher flexible schedule to work.

|  | Low | Med | High |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Household with Kids |  |  |  |
| No Kids | 37 | 42 | 21 |
| With Kids | 39 | 43 | 17 |
| Household income |  |  |  |
| 1_ <35K | 42 | 39 | 20 |
| 2_ 35-50K | 42 | 39 | 19 |
| 3_50-75K | 42 | 40 | 18 |
| 4_100-150K | 39 | 43 | 18 |
| 5_ > 150K | 32 | 46 | 22 |

## Flexible Work Schedule <br> - by Weekly Work Hours

\% of workers

- Workers who work for less hours per week (part time worker) are more likely to have flexible work schedules.

|  | Low | Med | High |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $<=20$ hrs. | 31 | 38 | 31 |
| $21-34$ hrs. | 32 | 43 | 25 |
| $>=35$ hrs. | 41 | 43 | 16 |

## 3. Work Location Model

\% Trip Length Distribution from Home to Work (miles)


## Home-Work Distance

- Mean = 16 miles
- Median $=10$ miles

7\% of workers are less than 1 mile
20\% less than 3 miles
10\% longer than 30 miles

| Distance | \% Worker |
| :---: | :---: |
| $<1$ | 7.4 |
| $1-3$ | 13.1 |
| $3-5$ | 12.3 |
| $5-10$ | 23.5 |
| $10-20$ | 23.4 |
| $20-30$ | 9.9 |
| $30-50$ | 7.2 |
| $>50$ | 3.2 |

## Home-Work Distance <br> - by Residential County

| HH County | \% Workers | Mean Dist. | \% Worker <br> $(>30$ miles $)$ | \% Worker <br> $(>50$ miles) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LA | $53 \%$ | 14 | 7 | 2 |
| OR | $16 \%$ | 15 | 8 | 2 |
| SBD | $11 \%$ | 20 | 20 | 7 |
| RIV | $10 \%$ | 21 | 21 | 9 |
| VN | $7 \%$ | 16 | 12 | 3 |
| IMP | $3 \%$ | 15 | 6 | 3 |

## Home-Work Distance - by /ndustry



## Home-Work Distance - by Socioeconomic Characteristics

Those who are female, with young children, lower household income, or parttime/student workers tend to have shorter work distance.

| Gender |  | Female + Pre-school Kids |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Female | Male | Yes | No |  |
| $\mathbf{1 4 . 1}$ | 17.5 | 13.4 | $\mathbf{1 6 . 2}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Household |  |  | Income |
| <25K | $\mathbf{2 5 - 5 0 K}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 - 1 0 0 K}$ | 100K+ |  |
| $\mathbf{1 3 . 1}$ | 14.3 | 16.2 | 17.1 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Worker |  |  |  |
| FT | PT | Student |  |  |
| 16.8 | $\mathbf{1 4 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 . 1}$ |  |  |

## Home-Work Distance by Residential Density (TAZ)

Higher residential density - > shorter work distance

| HH Density | \% Workers | Mean Dist. (mile) | \% Workers (>30 <br> miles) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $>30$ | 0.7 | 10.0 | 2.8 |
| $18-30$ | 2.4 | 11.6 | 4.6 |
| $10-18$ | 7.0 | 12.4 | 4.8 |
| $6-10$ | 13.5 | 13.2 | 5.4 |
| $3.5-6$ | 29.3 | 14.5 | 7.5 |
| $2-3.5$ | 23.7 | 17.2 | 12.9 |
| $1-2$ | 12.3 | 18.6 | 15.6 |
| $<1$ | 11.2 | 20.4 | 18.2 |

## Model Estimation Output



## Preschool Arrangement


> Children <=2 years old are assumed do not go to school.
> Predicts the percentage of home schooling for children 5 years old and younger.
> Model structure: Binary.
> Choice alternative: Schooling Out-of-Home VS from HOME.
> For those attending out of home preschool, the next model will determine school location.

## Preschool Arrangement Model Estimation (Binary)



## Preschool Arrangement

 Model Summary
## Age:

5 years old children are more likely to attend preschool out of home than those 3 and 4 years old. Number of non-working adult in the household Household Preschool children are less likely to go to school out of home if the household has at least 1 nonworking adult.
Household income
Propensity of attend school out of home is positively associated with household income: children from high income households are more likely to attend school out of home.

## Usual School Location



- 2.1a Preschool Location Model - MNL
- 2.1b Usual School Location k-8- Rule based
- 2.1c Usual School Location 9-12 Rule based
- 2.1d University Location- MNL


## Preschool Location Model background

- A preschool location choice model assigns a school (day care, kindergarten) location.
- Applied for every preschool child who go to school out of home.
- Total employment was used as size term and constrained to 1.
- A composite distance-decay factor was specified as a combination of linear, logged, squared rooted and cubed distance terms with different estimated coefficients.
- Linear distance was interacted with an income variable: Households with income less than 60K are more sensitive to distance for preschool children.


## Preschool Location Model Estimation (MNL)



## University Location Model Estimation(MNL)

|  | Beta |
| :--- | :---: |
| LN(University enrollment $+0.425^{*}$ Education emp) | 1.00000 |
| Distance | 0.16726 |
| Log(1+Distance) | 1.50850 |
| Square root distance | -2.89577 |
| Mode choice log-sum | 0.50000 |
| Distance*Age >25 | 0.01467 |
| Log(1+Distance)* Worker | 0.79015 |
| SQRT (Distance)* Worker | -0.54774 |
| Distance*Income <=35 | -0.01402 |
| Distance*Female with preschool kids | 0.14329 |
| Log(1+Distance)*Female with preschool kids | 2.90315 |
| SQRT (Distance) *Female with preschool kids | -2.88907 |

## Marginal Effects of Person and Household Characteristics on College Location



## Work Arrangement

|  | 2. Long-term Choices |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2.0 Preschool Arrangement | 2.1 Usual School Location | 2.2 Work Arrangement | 2.3 Usual Work Location | 2.4 Work Scheduling Flexibility |

The work arrangement model predicts workers':

1) weekly work hours,
2) number of jobs, and
3) workplace type.

## Work Arrangement Model Estimation (MNL)

| Explanatory variables | Hours |  |  | Location |  |  | Job |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0-20 hrs | $\begin{gathered} \text { 21-34 } \\ \text { hrs } \end{gathered}$ | 35+ | Fix | Home | Variable | Single | Multiple |
| Constants | -2.580 | -3.043 |  |  | -3.337 | -2.153 |  | -2.977 |
| Age 16-34 | 0.548 | 0.714 |  |  | -0.746 | -0.111 |  | 0.000 |
| Age> $=60$ | 0.876 | 0.727 |  |  | 0.590 | 0.000 |  | -0.289 |
| if student | 1.363 | 0.917 |  |  | -0.384 | 0.000 |  | 0.000 |
| If higher educated (educa $=5,6$ ) | -0.205 | -0.263 |  |  | 0.390 | 0.000 |  | 0.373 |
| Female | 0.563 | 0.624 |  |  | 0.000 | -0.453 |  | -0.122 |
| Presence of school age children at home (<=5 yr old) | -0.471 | -0.688 |  |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Female $\times$ HpsHome | 0.332 | 0.499 |  |  | 0.702 | -0.344 |  | 0.000 |
| Single person household | 0.000 | -0.205 |  |  | 0.000 | 0.000 |  | 0.304 |
| HH has 2 or more workers | 0.000 | 0.142 |  |  | 0.236 | 0.193 |  | 0.000 |
| Low (0-35,000) | 0.668 | 0.663 |  |  | 0.483 | 0.647 |  | 0.000 |
| Low (35,001-50,000) | 0.000 | 0.245 |  |  | 0.000 | 0.155 |  | 0.000 |
| High (100,001-150,000) | -0.133 | -0.167 |  |  | -0.381 | -0.137 |  | 0.000 |
| Very High ( $>150,000$ ) - 12\% | -0.275 | -0.226 |  |  | 0.000 | 0.000 |  | 0.000 |
| Agriculture/Mining | -0.445 | -0.758 |  |  | -1.395 | 0.499 |  | -0.784 |
| Transportation/Warehousing and Utility/Construction | -0.458 | 0.000 |  |  | -0.856 | 0.944 |  | -0.495 |
| Manufacturing/Wholesale | -0.561 | -0.419 |  |  | -1.427 | -0.906 |  | -0.580 |
| Retail/Other services | 0.398 | 0.708 |  |  | -1.026 | -0.339 |  | -0.257 |
| Information Services/Bussiness Services | 0.000 | 0.196 |  |  | -0.435 | 0.000 |  | -0.331 |
| Education and Health Services | 0.504 | 0.476 |  |  | -1.740 | -0.228 |  | 0.149 |
| Financial Real Estate | 0.000 | 0.000 |  |  | 0.000 | 0.000 |  | 0.000 |
| Arts/Entertainment and Hospitality/Food Service | 0.536 | 0.774 |  |  | -1.088 | -0.382 |  | 0.000 |

## Work Arrangement Model Summary

- Female tends to work for part-time, and less likely to work at variable work place and multiple jobs than males.
- A student worker tends to work for part-time, and less likely to work at home.
- Retail, education, and entertainments/food service workers are more likely to work for part time.
- Agriculture and construction workers are more likely to work at variable location; finance/real estate and PA are more likely to work at home than other industries.
Education/Health/Social services are more likely to work for multiple jobs, and less likely for agriculture and manufacturing.
- Workers who are younger ( $16-34$ ) or older ( $>=60$ ) are more likely to be part-time workers than middle age workers. However, younger workers are less likely to work at fixed work place compared to other age, and older workers are more likely to work at home, but less likely for multiple jobs.


## Work Location



- The Usual Work Location Choice Mode/ predicts the usual work location for workers who work out of home.
- The Model was estimated in a MNL form using the ALOGIT software.
- The Model includes mode choice logsums, general accessibilities, distance terms, zonal employment, household characteristics, and worker characteristics as explanatory variables.


## Work Location Model Estimation (MNL)

|  | Variable |
| :--- | :---: |
| LN (zonal emp by industry) | Beta |
| TLS* | 1.00000 |
| LN(1+TLS) | -0.044350 |
| Squared TLS | -1.226770 |
| TLS* Female | 0.000060 |
| Squared TLS*Female | -0.023410 |
| LN(1+TLS)* Income <=35K | 0.000100 |
| TLS*HHINC $>100 \mathrm{~K}$ | -0.302750 |
| Squared TLS*HHINC $>100 \mathrm{~K}$ | 0.011300 |
| TLS* PT worker | -0.00007 |
| LN(1+TLS)* PT worker | 0.013890 |
| TLS*Female with pre-school children | -0.772540 |
| LN(1+TLS)*Female with pre-school children | -0.007420 |

[^0]
## Work Location Model Summary:

- Part-time workers are more sensitive to commute distance than full-time workers, and their sensitivity increases with longer distances.
- Females are less likely to travel longer distances compared to males. This could be due to household responsibilities and child care at home.

Low-income workers are more sensitive to commuting longer distances while higher-income workers are less sensitive.

## Work Schedule Flexibility

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2. Long-term Choices |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2.0 Preschool <br> Arrangement | 2.1 Usual <br> School Location | 2.2 Work <br> Arrangement | 2.3 Usual Work <br> Location | 2.4 | Work Scheduling <br> Flexibility |  |  |  |

The Work Schedule \& Flexibility Model predicts:

1) Number of work days per week,
2) Work flexibility.

## Work Schedule Flexibility Model Estimation (MNL)

| Variable | Beta - Specific to Choice Alternatives |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Weekly Work Days |  |  |  |  | Work Flexibility |  |  |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5+ | No Flex | Moderate | High |
| Constant | -5.273 | -4.988 | -3.799 | -2.889 |  |  | -0.542 | -2.264 |
| Household Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Below 75,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Medium High (75,000-100,000) | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.207 | 0.000 |  |  | 0.167 | 0.000 |
| High household income(>100,000) | 0.265 | 0.280 | 0.390 | 0.262 |  |  | 0.427 | 0.490 |
| Industry |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agriculture/Mining | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |  |  | 0.000 | 0.812 |
| Transportation/Warehousing and Utility/Construction | 0.000 | 0.000 | -0.300 | 0.000 |  |  | 0.240 | 0.841 |
| Manufacturing/Wholesale | -1.560 | -1.267 | -0.607 | -0.365 |  |  | 0.288 | 0.637 |
| Retail/Other services | 0.000 | -0.309 | -0.299 | 0.000 |  |  | 0.530 | 0.981 |
| Information Services/Business Services | 0.000 | -0.386 | -0.493 | 0.000 |  |  | 0.954 | 1.474 |
| Education and Health Services |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Financial Real Estate | 0.000 | 0.000 | -0.579 | -0.300 |  |  | 0.851 | 1.863 |
| Arts/Entertainment and Hospitality/Food Service | -0.494 | 0.000 | -0.395 | 0.000 |  |  | 0.611 | 0.821 |
| Public Administration | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 1.071 |  |  | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Work hours |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <= 20 hours/week | 3.300 | 3.771 | 2.435 | 1.352 |  |  | 0.000 | 0.467 |
| 21-34 hours/week | 0.853 | 2.051 | 2.425 | 1.978 |  |  | 0.000 | 0.396 |
| >= 35 hours/week |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Weekly work day $1 \times$ Work Flexibility. Moderate | 0.419 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Weekly work day $2 \times$ Work Flexibility. Moderate | 0.509 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Weekly work day 3 X Work Flexibility. Moderate | 0.642 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Weekly work day 4 X Work Flexibility. Moderate | 0.232 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Weekly work day $1 \times$ Work Flexibility. High | 1.509 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Weekly work day $2 \times$ Work Flexibility. High | 1.270 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Weekly work day 3 X Work Flexibility. High | 1.222 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Weekly work day 4 X Work Flexibility. High | 0.417 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Work Schedule Flexibility Model Summary:

- All the decisions are estimated simultaneously in ALOGIT software as a multinomial logit model.
- Part-time workers are less likely to work 5 days a week at primary job. They tend to have high work schedule flexibility.
Industry:
- Workers in Public Administration industry are most likely to work less than 5 days a week when compared to workers in other industries.
- Financial and Real Estate , Information Services/Business Services , Arts/Entertainment and Hospitality/Food Service workers are most likely to have higher work flexibility when compared to other industry types.
- Workers in Manufacturing/Wholesale are less likely to work 1 or 2 days per week.


## Policy implications of alternative/ flexible work arrangements:

- Beneficial for reduction of commuting volumes in peak periods
- Demand elasticity to congestion pricing
- Implementation of road pricing schemes


## Thank You
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[^0]:    * TLS-Transformed Log Sum

