2012 Model Update and Validation for 2016 RTP/SCS

Guoxiong Huang, SCAG

Model Task Force Meeting – September 23, 2015



Presentation Outline



2012 Model Update and Validation for 2016 RTP/SCS



SCAG's Integrated Modeling & Forecasting Framework



2012 Model Update and Validation for 2016 RTP/SCS

Review of Model Components



Legend

Input



Output

Population Synthesizer (shadowed) is a new component.

All the model modules and input data are updated for 2008 model validation and 2012 RTP analysis.

Tiered Zone System - Structure



Auto Availability Model

Multinomial logit model

Explanatory variables:

- ✓ Household size 1, 2, 3, 4 or more persons
- ✓ Household income <35K, 35-75k, 75-150K, 150K+
- \checkmark Number of workers in household 0, 1, 2, 3 or more workers
- ✓ Type of housing unit (single family detached, other)

✓ Transit accessibility to employment

$$TrLogsum_{p} = Ln\left(\sum_{q} \exp\left(-0.025 * (TransitTime_{pq} - AutoTime_{pq}) + \ln(Emp_{q})\right)\right)$$

Where: $TransitTime_{pq}$ is total transit time including a weight of 2 on all out-of-vehicle time components

Auto Availability Model (continued)

✓ Mix household, employment and intersection density

Ln {[Int*(Emp*a) * (HH*b)] /[Int + (Emp*a) + (HH*b)]},

Int= Number of local intersections in 1/2 mile of centroid Emp= Employment within 1/2 mile of centroid HH= Households within 1/2 mile of centroid a= average Int / average Emp b= average Int / average HH

 Non-motorized accessibility to employment - Number of jobs within a 10 minute walk.

> Low accessibility (<= 500 jobs) Medium accessibility (500 to 1,000 jobs) High accessibility (1,000 to 5,000 jobs) Very high accessibility (> 5,000 jobs)

Auto Availability Estimation Results

| | Auto Availibility Choice | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--|--|--|
| | 1 car | 2 car | 3 car | 4 car | | | |
| | coeff | coeff | coeff | coeff | | | |
| Constant | 2.529 | 2.333 | 2.442 | 2.569 | | | |
| Household income | | | | | | | |
| Low | -0.465 | -1.604 | -2.396 | -3.032 | | | |
| Medium | 1.067 | 0.766 | 0.402 | 0.109 | | | |
| High | 1.765 | 2.126 | 1.971 | 1.929 | | | |
| Household size | | | | | | | |
| 2 Person HH | 0.126 | 1.253 | 0.177 | -0.520 | | | |
| 3 Person HH | 0.081 | 1.294 | 1.836 | 0.947 | | | |
| 4 + Person HH | 0.112 | 1.633 | 2.092 | 2.306 | | | |
| Workers in HH | | | | | | | |
| 1 Worker HH | 1.014 | 1.497 | 0.935 | 0.200 | | | |
| 2 Worker HH | 0.852 | 2.902 | 2.628 | 2.109 | | | |
| 3+ Worker HH | 0.889 | 2.081 | 3.249 | 4.617 | | | |
| Multi-family housing | -0.608 | -1.516 | -2.147 | -2.575 | | | |
| Mix eml,hhld. And Int Density | -0.119 | -0.141 | -0.170 | -0.192 | | | |
| Non-motorized accessibility | | | | | | | |
| Low <500 jobs | | | | | | | |
| Medium:500-1000 jobs | -0.002 | -0.158 | -0.161 | -0.260 | | | |
| High: 1000-5000 jobs | -0.007 | -0.205 | -0.328 | -0.528 | | | |
| Vhigh: >5000 jobs | -0.368 | -0.458 | -0.587 | -0.787 | | | |
| Transit Accessibility LogSum | | | | | | | |
| Low: <=10 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | | | |
| Medium: 10-12 | | | | | | | |
| High:12-14 | -0.404 | -0.662 | -0.871 | -0.959 | | | |
| Very high:>14 | -1.478 | -2.490 | -2.490 | -2.490 | | | |

Trip Generation Model

- Enhanced population synthesizer (PopSyn) for detailed joint household distributions
- ✓ HBW by "Direct" and "Strategic" trips
- ✓ Separated by Peak and Off-Peak
- Trip productions grouped by household income / car sufficiency for downstream models:
 - Zero cars, all income
 - Car competition, all income
 - Car sufficient, low income
 - Car sufficient, medium income
 - Car sufficient, high income

Trip Generation Model – Auto Sufficiency



Trip Distribution Model

- ✓ Gravity models for HBSC and HBCU
- Destination choice models for all other purposes

$$U_{ijm} = \theta \times L_{ijm} + \sum_{k} \beta^{k} D_{ij}^{k} + \sum_{k} \delta_{m}^{k} N_{m}^{k} D_{ij}^{k} + \sum_{k} \gamma_{m}^{k} M_{i}^{k} IZ_{j} + Ln(A_{jm}) + C_{jm}$$

LS = mode choice logsum; D = distance polynomial; IZ = zonal characteristics; A = size term (attraction).

✓ HBW & HBNW stratified by household income /car sufficiency

HBW Destination Choice Estimation Results

| Explanatowy Variable | HBWD |) | HBWS | | |
|-------------------------|--------------|--------|-------------|--------|--|
| Explanatory Variable | Coefficient | t-Stat | Coefficient | t-Stat | |
| Mode Choice Logsum | 0.9 | n/a | 0.9 | n/a | |
| Distance | -0.106965313 | -42.29 | -0.093875 | -19.03 | |
| Distance Squared | 0.001408705 | 19.33 | 0.0014159 | 8.41 | |
| Distance Cubed | -0.0000643 | -11.84 | -0.0000100 | -6.51 | |
| Intra-Zonal Indicator | 1.007 | 12.124 | -0.052 | -0.271 | |
| Observations | 323340 | | 65780 | | |
| Final Log-Likelihood | -56666 | | -24144.2252 | | |
| Rho-Squared (Zero) | 0.0986 | | 0.0758 | | |
| Rho-Squared (Constants) | 0.0956 | | 0.0736 | | |

Mode Choice Model - Nest Structure



Mode Choice Model – Highway Choices

- ✓ Over 11,000 lane miles of limited access roadways
- ✓ 900+ lane miles of HOV (2 & 3+ roadways
- ✓ 2 dynamically-priced HOT lanes facilities in 2013
- ✓ Several toll roads



Mode Choice Model – Transit Options

✓ Over 70 different transit carriers

- ✓ Wide variety of transit technologies & operations
- ✓ Characterized by trip purpose, trip distance and type of traveler
 - Short distance local & rapid bus, mostly low income
 - Medium distance urban rail (expanding) and various types of express bus service, including transit-way buses & BRT
 - Long distance commuter rail, mostly high income, competing with express buses on some markets

Mode Choice Model – Rail Station Choice

✓ 4 sets of paths created to support station choice

- Zone to station bus & walk access allowed, no rail
- Zone to station only walk allowed, no rail
- Station to zone bus & walk access allowed, no rail
- Station to station only rail allowed

 Best paths determined by the mode choice model by minimizing the entire utility of all station-to-station combinations for a given OD

Heavy Duty Truck Model

✓ HDT trip markets

- Internal HDT Trips
- Internal/External & Thru Trips
- Port Truck Trips
- Intermodal Terminal Truck Trips

✓ Weight Classes

- Light Heavy (8,500 to 14,000 lbs. GVW)
- Medium Heavy (14,001 to 33,000 lbs. GVW)
- Heavy Heavy (>33,000 lbs. GVW)



Time of Day Segmentation

2012 Diurnal Trip in Motion Auto Vehicle modes



Highway Assignment

- ✓ Static biconjugate user equilibrium
- ✓ Generalized cost (time, operation cost, toll/user fee)
- \checkmark VOTs stratified by vehicle class and time period
- ✓ Vehicle classes:
 - Drive alone
 - Shared Ride 2: GP lane vs HOV 2+ lane
 - Shared Ride 3+: GP lane vs HOV 3+ lane
 - Heavy Duty Trucks Light, Medium, Heavy
- ✓ Modified BPR volume-delay functions
- ✓ Built-in HOV and Toll Diversion models

Highway Assignment - HDT

- ✓ Passenger Car Equivalents
 - Function of link length, grade and truck volume
- ✓ Grade and truck link length calculation
 - Point elevation data obtained by polling the USGS website
 - Run grade calculator (custom utility) to compute grade & length

Model Convergence

Travel time feedback to trip generation

- Up to 5 feedback loops performed
- MSA applied to average volumes over loops (1/2 step size)
- User has the option of additional loops to tighten convergence
- Congested times calculated using the averaged volumes
- Peak travel times is based on combined AM & PM peak time

2012 Model Update and Validation for 2016 RTP/SCS

2012 Model Update and Validation

Motivation and Considerations

- Develop a base year model for the analysis of 2016 Regional Transportation Plan and Sustainable Communities Strategy (RTP/SCS), including conformity analysis and other related programs.
- Fully utilize the latest available information, especially the data from the statewide household travel survey (CHTS), the 2010 Census and the latest American Community Survey (ACS)
- ✓ Continue with the model framework and setup used for the 2012 RTP/SCS which was peer reviewed in June, 2011

Data Acquisition

✓ 2012 CHTS and SCAG Add-on Travel Surveys

Highway Network

• Updates to the 2012 base year network will be carried over to future year networks.

Transit Network

 2012 TripMaster database for use as the basis for the 2012 base year transit network developed from 2012 TripMaster database.

Transit Level of Service Data

2012 Transit LOS data from transit agencies.

✓ Year 2012 Screenline Count Database

- 640 traffic counts on the arterials and 33 video traffic counts on freeways.
- ✓ HPMS Data for estimating regional and sub-air basin VMT
- ✓ HERE / Google data for real-time network speed verification
- ✓ Airsage Data for alternative source of regional travel patterns

CHTS and SCAG Household Travel Surveys

| Sample Type | NuStats | SRBI | Total |
|--|---------|--------|--------|
| All Households | 24,486 | 10,563 | 35,049 |
| Complete households | 15,716 | 3,848 | 19564 |
| Partially complete households | 45 | 479 | 524 |
| Only recruit data | 8,725 | 6,236 | 14,961 |
| | | | |
| Complete and partially complete households | 15,716 | 4,327 | 20,043 |
| Weekday | 67% | 71% | 68% |
| Weekend | 33% | 29% | 32% |

Population Synthesis

A. Household variables

Number of household members (continuous)

Annual household income (continuous)

Tenure Structure (1=owner, 2= renter)

Dwelling unit type ((1=SFD, 2=SFA, 3=MF and 4=Other)

Record type (1=residential, 2=Institutional GQ, 3=Non institutional GQ)

B. Person variables*

Age of a person (continuous)

Gender of a person (1=Male, 2=Female)

Race/ethnicity of a person (1=HP,2=NHW,3=NHB, 4=NHAI, 5=NHAS and 6=NHO)

Worker status (1=Employed, 2=Unemployed)

Worker's industry sector (20 categories)

Worker's occupation type (24 types)

Grade of student (1="Nursery school/preschool"; 2="Kindergarten"; 3="Grade 1 to grade 4"; 4="Grade 5 to grade 8"; 5="Grade 9 to grade 12"; 6="College undergraduate"; 7="Graduate or professional school")

Education Attainment (1="Less than high school"; 2="High school diploma";3="Associate/2-year College degree"; 4="Bachelor degree"; 5="Master's degree +")

* Any person level attribute can be aggregated at household level. For instance: *Number of employed persons in the household*

Model Update

✓ Model Data for Auto and Truck Operating Cost and Auto Parking Cost

All cost-related variables and parameters updated from 1999\$ to 2011\$

✓ Model Components Updated to 2012 Observed Data

- Warehouse truck trips
- Auto ownership and trip rates
- Trip distribution and mode shares
- HOV, HOT, and tolls

✓ Model Operation

 Model source code optimization to streamline model flow and reduce runtime

Estimated Parking Costs (Daily Rate)



Trip Productions Validation

| Trip Purpose | 2012 Household Survey | 2012 Model Estimate | % Difference |
|--------------|--------------------------|------------------------|--------------|
| HBWD | 8,960,693 | 9,220,900 | 3% |
| HBWS | 1,884,606 | 1,939,400 | 3% |
| HBSc | 4,718,142 | 4,581,800 | -3% |
| HBU | 699,938 | 672,600 | -4% |
| HBSh | 4,897,836 | 4,803,000 | -2% |
| HBSR | 7,409,153 | 7,380,500 | 0% |
| НВО | 10,575,864 | 10,456,900 | -1% |
| HBSP | 6,433,085 | 6,541,400 | 2% |
| ОВО | 14,579,200 | 14,565,800 | 0% |
| WBO | 3,372,527 | 3,221,700 | -4% |
| Total | 63,531,045 | 63,384,000 | 0% |

Trip Distribution Calibration





Trip Distribution Validation – Worker Flow

| Worker Flows (ACS 2006-2010) | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------|----------------|----------------|------------|-------------|---------|-----------|--|--|--|
| | | 25 | 37 | 59 | 65 | 71 | 111 | SCAG | | | |
| 25 | Imperial | 51,070 | 123 | 58 | 1,235 | 100 | 10 | 52,596 | | | |
| 37 | Los Angeles | 197 | 4,049,070 | 180,799 | 15,160 | 57,039 | 36,538 | 4,338,803 | | | |
| 59 | Orange | 25 | 178,031 | 1,178,730 | 15,171 | 12,110 | 534 | 1,384,601 | | | |
| 65 | Riverside | 654 | 50,875 | 66,986 | 585,391 | 89,406 | 578 | 793,890 | | | |
| 71 | San Bernardino | 100 | 126,465 | 34,918 | 64,978 | 569,504 | 831 | 796,796 | | | |
| 111 | Ventura | 25 | 66,683 | 1,174 | 237 | 439 | 294,158 | 362,716 | | | |
| | SCAG | 52,071 | 4,471,247 | 1,462,665 | 682,172 | 728,598 | 332,649 | 7,729,402 | | | |
| | Fo | recast Diff | erence (%), Tr | ips vs. Worker | Flow, Coun | ty Normaliz | ed | | | | |
| | | 25 | 37 | 59 | 65 | 71 | 111 | SCAG | | | |
| 25 | Imperial | -1.3% | 0.2% | 0.2% | 0.8% | 0.2% | 0.0% | 0.0% | | | |
| 37 | Los Angeles | 0.0% | -4.4% | 3.5% | 0.1% | 0.4% | 0.4% | 0.0% | | | |
| 59 | Orange | 0.0% | 6.4% | -7.6% | 0.4% | 0.9% | 0.0% | 0.0% | | | |
| 65 | Riverside | 0.1% | 4.4% | 5.4% | -13.7% | 3.9% | 0.0% | 0.0% | | | |
| 71 | | 0.00(| | E 40/ | 2 20/ | _12.7% | 0.1% | 0.0% | | | |
| /1 | San Bernardino | 0.0% | 5.7% | 5.1% | 2.5% | -13.270 | 0.176 | 0.070 | | | |
| 111 | San Bernardino Ventura | 0.0% | 5.7% 11.7% | 0.4% | 0.0% | 0.1% | -12.2% | 0.0% | | | |

HBW Mode Share Comparison

| HBW Peak Estimated Mode Shares | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|----------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------|---------|-------------------|-------|--|--|--|--|
| Household Segment | Drive Alone | Shared Ride 2 | Shared Ride 3 | Shared Ride 4+ | Transit | Non- Motorized | Total | | | | |
| No Cars | 1.6% | 18.0% | 9.5% | 6.6% | 47.0% | 17.3% | 100% | | | | |
| Car Competition | 41.5% | 20.2% | 8.3% | 4.7% | 12.5% | 12.8% | 100% | | | | |
| Income 0-35K | 54.6% | 8.9% | 6.0% | 5.8% | 18.6% | 6.1% | 100% | | | | |
| Income 35-75K | 81.2% | 6.9% | 3.4% | 2.3% | 1.9% | 4.3% | 100% | | | | |
| Income over 75K | 92.1% | 3.9% | 0.9% | 0.3% | 0.6% | 2.3% | 100% | | | | |
| Total | 77.0% | 7.4% | 3.2% | 2.1% | 5.6% | 4.8% | 100% | | | | |
| | ŀ | HBW Peak | Target Mo | ode Share | S | | | | | | |
| Household Segment | Drive Alone | Shared Ride 2 | Shared Ride 3 | Shared Ride 4+ | Transit | Non- Motorized | Total | | | | |
| No Cars | 4.9% | 14.8% | 8.7% | 5.7% | 51.4% | 14.5% | 100% | | | | |
| Car Competition | 41.3% | 18.3% | 8.5% | 4.5% | 19.5% | 7.9% | 100% | | | | |
| Income 0-35K | 64.1% | 7.8% | 4.0% | 2.4% | 18.0% | 3.8% | 100% | | | | |
| Income 35-75K | 82.9% | 6.9% | 3.5% | 1.7% | 2.3% | 2.7% | 100% | | | | |
| Income over 75K | 91.2% | 3.7% | 1.8% | 0.7% | 1.2% | 1.3% | 100% | | | | |

3.3%

1.6%

6.3%

2.9%

100%

Total

79.2%

6.7%

Mode Choice Model Validation

| HBW Off-Peak Estimated Mode Shares | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|----------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------|---------|-------------------|-------|--|--|--|
| Household Segment | Drive Alone | Shared Ride 2 | Shared Ride 3 | Shared Ride 4+ | Transit | Non- Motorized | Total | | | |
| No Cars | 1.8% | 11.3% | 10.0% | 5.9% | 52.5% | 18.4% | 100% | | | |
| Car Competition | 41.9% | 20.4% | 8.5% | 5.0% | 12.4% | 11.8% | 100% | | | |
| Income 0-35K | 55.3% | 8.2% | 5.1% | 4.7% | 19.6% | 7.0% | 100% | | | |
| Income 35-75K | 80.9% | 6.8% | 3.3% | 2.3% | 2.6% | 4.3% | 100% | | | |
| Income over 75K | 92.0% | 3.9% | 0.9% | 0.3% | 1.0% | 1.9% | 100% | | | |
| Total | 76.9% | 7.1% | 3.1% | 2.0% | 6.2% | 4.7% | 100% | | | |
| | н | BW Off-Pe | ak Target N | Aode Shar | es | | | | | |
| Household Segment | Drive Alone | Shared Ride 2 | Shared Ride 3 | Shared Ride 4+ | Transit | Non- Motorized | Total | | | |
| No Cars | 5.0% | 11.6% | 9.0% | 6.3% | 51.5% | 16.6% | 100% | | | |
| Car Competition | 43.1% | 18.8% | 9.0% | 4.8% | 16.3% | 8.1% | 100% | | | |
| Income 0-35K | 66.2% | 8.1% | 4.3% | 2.6% | 14.6% | 4.1% | 100% | | | |
| Income 35-75K | 82.7% | 7.0% | 3.7% | 1.8% | 1.8% | 3.0% | 100% | | | |
| Income over 75K | 91.1% | 3.7% | 2.0% | 0.8% | 0.7% | 1.8% | 100% | | | |
| Total | 79.5% | 6.7% | 3.5% | 1.7% | 5.2% | 3.3% | 100% | | | |

Highway Assignment vs HPMS VMT

| Country | | VC SCCAB | | SCAB | | MDAB | | SSAB | | Total | | County |
|------------|------------------------|--|-----------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|---|
| County | | Auto | Truck | Auto | Truck | Auto | Truck | Auto | Truck | Auto | Truck | Total |
| Imperial | Model | | | | | | | 4,221 | 520 | 4,221 | 520 | 4,740 |
| | HPMS | | | | | | | 4,466 | 796 | 4,466 | 796 | 5,261 |
| Los | Model | | - | 202,103 | 12,867 | 7,261 | 349 | - | - | 209,364 | 13,216 | 222,580 |
| Angeles | HPMS | | | 204,952 | 11,581 | 8,470 | 605 | | | 213,422 | 12,186 | 225,608 |
| Orange | Model | | | 70,000 | 3,468 | | - | - | | 70,000 | 3,468 | 73,469 |
| 0101180 | HPMS | | | 73,180 | 3,366 | | | | | 73,180 | 3,366 | 76,546 |
| Riverside | Model | 1996 - 1997 - | | 45,058 | 2,840 | 1,404 | 750 | 9,504 | 1,248 | 55,965 | 4,838 | 60,802 |
| | HPMS | | | 41,253 | 3,496 | 1,495 | 632 | 9,636 | 1,697 | 52,383 | 5,824 | 58,208 |
| San | Model | | - | 35,153 | 2,416 | 19,159 | 3,612 | - | | 54,312 | 6,028 | 60,340 |
| Bernardino | HPMS | | | 36,584 | 3,396 | 18,424 | 3,909 | | | 55,007 | 7,306 | 62,313 |
| Ventura | Model | 16,951 | 1,407 | - | - | - | - | | - | 16,951 | 1,407 | 18,358 |
| ventura | HPMS | 18,719 | 954 | | | | | | | 18,719 | 954 | 19,673 |
| Total | Model HPMS Ratio | 16,951 18,719 0.906 | 1,407 954 1.475 | 352,314 355,969 0.990 | 21,591 21,839 0.989 | 27,824 28,388 0.980 | 4,711 5,146 0.915 | 13,724 14,101 0.973 | 1,767 2,492 0.709 | 410,813 417,178 0.985 | 29,476 30,431 0,969 | 440,289 447,609 0.984 |

Highway Assignment – Screenline by Volume Group

| Volume | Dai | ly Vehicle | Daily | y Vehicle \ | /olume | Daily Vehicle Volumes | | | | | |
|----------------------|------------|------------|-------|-------------|-----------|-----------------------|-------|--------|------------|------------|-------|
| Group By | | LM | | HDT | | | TOTAL | | | | |
| Facility | Model | Count | Ratio | RMSE | Model | Count | Ratio | RMSE | Model | Count | Ratio |
| 0 - 4,999 | 652,864 | 355,779 | 1.84 | 221.21 | 18,576 | 35,908 | 0.52 | 121.65 | 673,073 | 391,687 | 1.72 |
| 5,000 - 24,999 | 5,839,808 | 4,880,627 | 1.20 | 58.84 | 224,396 | 332,581 | 0.67 | 99.53 | 6,085,202 | 5,213,208 | 1.17 |
| 25,000 - 49,999 | 4,402,165 | 4,005,656 | 1.10 | 37.83 | 241,319 | 339,376 | 0.71 | 65.68 | 4,659,195 | 4,345,032 | 1.07 |
| 50,000 - 99,999 | 5,477,728 | 5,326,238 | 1.03 | 20.18 | 539,628 | 531,663 | 1.01 | 47.70 | 6,018,988 | 5,857,901 | 1.03 |
| 100,000 - 199,999 | 7,032,600 | 6,654,035 | 1.06 | 20.10 | 622,011 | 478,906 | 1.30 | 56.32 | 7,655,817 | 7,132,941 | 1.07 |
| 200,000 or More | 186,566 | 180,946 | 1.03 | 3.11 | 19,140 | 6,294 | 3.04 | 204.10 | 205,706 | 187,240 | 1.10 |
| Total | 23,591,731 | 21,403,281 | 1.10 | 39.91 | 1,665,069 | 1,724,728 | 0.97 | 90.19 | 25,297,980 | 23,128,009 | 1.09 |

Transit Assignment Validation

| Transit Mode | 2012 Estimated Boarding | 2012 Actual Boarding | Ratio |
|------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|-------|
| Commuter Rail | 46,077 | 44,472 | 1.04 |
| Urban Rail | 373,547 | 356,648 | 1.05 |
| MTA Bus * | 1,241,911 | 1,190,314 | 1.04 |
| Other Transit ** | 947,390 | 763,648 | 1.24 |
| Total Boarding | 2,608,925 | 2,355,082 | 1.11 |

* MTA Bus: Local bus, Rapid bus, Express bus operated by LACMTA

** Other Transit: Local bus, Rapid bus, Express bus operated by other transit carriers in SCAG region

Thank You!

