Profile of the City of Jurupa Valley

Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG) Regional Council includes 69 districts which represent 191 cities in the SCAG region.

SCAG Regional Council District 4 includes Jurupa Valley, Eastvale, and Norco
Represented by Hon. Clint Lorimore

This profile report was prepared by the Southern California Association of Governments and shared with the City of Jurupa Valley. SCAG provides local governments with a variety of benefits and services including, for example, data and information, GIS training, planning and technical assistance, and sustainability planning grants.
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I. Introduction

The Southern California Association of Governments

The Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG) is the largest Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) in the nation, with nearly 19 million residents. The SCAG region includes six counties (Imperial, Los Angeles, Orange, Riverside, San Bernardino, and Ventura) and 191 incorporated cities. In addition, the SCAG region is a major hub of global economic activity, representing the 16th largest economy in the world and is considered the nation’s gateway for international trade, with two of the largest ports in the nation. SCAG is also the most culturally diverse region in the nation, with no single ethnic group comprising a majority of the population. With a robust, diversified economy and a growing population substantially fueled by international immigration, the SCAG region is poised to continue its role as a primary metropolitan center on the Pacific Rim.

SCAG Activities

As the designated MPO, SCAG is mandated by federal law to research and develop a Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), which incorporates a Sustainable Communities Strategy (SCS) per California state law. Additionally, SCAG is pursuing a variety of innovative planning and policy initiatives to foster a more sustainable Southern California. In addition to conducting the formal planning activities required of an MPO, SCAG provides local governments with a wide variety of benefits and services including, for example, data and information, GIS training, planning and technical assistance, and support for sustainability planning grants.

The Local Profiles

In 2008, SCAG initiated the Local Profiles project as a part of a larger initiative to provide a variety of new services to its member cities and counties. Through extensive input from member jurisdictions, the inaugural Local Profiles Reports were released at the SCAG General Assembly in May 2009. The Profiles have since been updated every two years.

The Local Profiles reports provide a variety of demographic, economic, education, housing, and transportation information about each member jurisdiction including, but not limited to, the following:

- How much growth in population has taken place since 2000?
- Has the local jurisdiction been growing faster or slower than the county or regional average?
- Have there been more or fewer school-age children?
- Have homeownership rates been increasing or decreasing?
- How and where do residents travel to work?
- How has the local economy been changing in terms of employment share by sector?

Answers to questions such as these provide a snapshot of the dynamic changes affecting each local jurisdiction.
The purpose of this report is to provide current information and data for the City of Jurupa Valley for planning and outreach efforts. Information on population, housing, transportation, employment, retail sales, and education can be utilized by the city to make well informed planning decisions. The report provides a portrait of the city and its changes since 2000, using average figures for Riverside County as a comparative baseline. In addition, the most current data available for the region is also included in the Statistical Summary (page 3). This profile demonstrates current trends occurring in the City of Jurupa Valley.

**Factors Affecting Local Changes Reflected in the 2017 Report**

Overall, member jurisdictions since 2000 have been impacted by a variety of factors at the national, regional, and local levels. For example, the vast majority of member jurisdictions included in the 2017 Local Profiles reflect national demographic trends toward an older and more diverse population. Evidence of continued progress toward economic recovery is also apparent through gradual increases in employment, retail sales, building permits, and home prices. Work destinations and commute times correlate with regional development patterns and the geographical location of local jurisdictions, particularly in relation to the regional transportation system.

**Uses of the Local Profiles**

Following release at the SCAG General Assembly, the Local Profiles are posted on the SCAG website and are used for a variety of purposes including, but not limited to, the following:

- Data and communication resource for elected officials, businesses, and residents
- Community planning and outreach
- Economic development
- Visioning initiatives
- Grant application support
- Performance monitoring

The primary user groups of the Local Profiles include member jurisdictions and state and federal legislative delegates of Southern California. This report is a SCAG member benefit and the use of the data contained within this report is voluntary.

**Report Organization**

This report includes three sections. The first section presents a statistical summary for the City of Jurupa Valley. The second section provides detailed information organized by subject area and includes brief highlights of some of the trends identified by that information. The third section, Methodology, describes technical considerations related to data definitions, measurement, and sources.
## 2016 STATISTICAL SUMMARY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Jurupa Valley</th>
<th>Riverside County</th>
<th>Jurupa Valley relative to Riverside County*</th>
<th>SCAG Region</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>2016 Total Population</strong></td>
<td>98,177</td>
<td>2,347,828</td>
<td>[4.2%]</td>
<td>18,954,083</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2016 Population Density</strong> (Persons per Square Mile)</td>
<td>2,257</td>
<td>324</td>
<td>1,933</td>
<td>489</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2016 Median Age (Years)</strong></td>
<td>30.9</td>
<td>34.8</td>
<td>-3.9</td>
<td>36.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2016 Hispanic</strong></td>
<td>68.7%</td>
<td>48.1%</td>
<td>20.6%</td>
<td>46.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2016 Non-Hispanic White</strong></td>
<td>23.8%</td>
<td>36.4%</td>
<td>-12.6%</td>
<td>31.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2016 Non-Hispanic Asian</strong></td>
<td>2.8%</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
<td>-3.4%</td>
<td>12.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2016 Non-Hispanic Black</strong></td>
<td>2.9%</td>
<td>6.0%</td>
<td>-3.1%</td>
<td>6.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2016 Non-Hispanic American Indian</strong></td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>-0.2%</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2016 All Other Non-Hispanic</strong></td>
<td>1.5%</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
<td>-1.4%</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2016 Number of Households</strong></td>
<td>24,936</td>
<td>713,205</td>
<td>[3.5%]</td>
<td>6,132,938</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2016 Average Household Size</strong></td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2016 Median Household Income</strong></td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>$57,367</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>$61,792</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2016 Number of Housing Units</strong></td>
<td>27,101</td>
<td>828,383</td>
<td>[3.3%]</td>
<td>6,629,879</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2016 Homeownership Rate</strong></td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>54.3%</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>54.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2016 Median Existing Home Sales Price</strong></td>
<td>$402,500</td>
<td>$332,000</td>
<td>$70,500</td>
<td>$466,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2015 - 2016 Median Home Sales Price Change</strong></td>
<td>5.9%</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
<td>-1.2%</td>
<td>6.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2016 Drive Alone to Work</strong></td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>80.9%</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>78.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2016 Mean Travel Time to Work (minutes)</strong></td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>35.0</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>31.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2015 Number of Jobs</strong></td>
<td>27,131</td>
<td>709,940</td>
<td>[3.8%]</td>
<td>7,920,602</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2014 - 2015 Total Jobs Change</strong></td>
<td>314</td>
<td>27,752</td>
<td>[1%]</td>
<td>117,499</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2015 Average Salary per Job</strong></td>
<td>$42,636</td>
<td>$42,060</td>
<td>$576</td>
<td>$53,962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2016 K-12 Public School Student Enrollment</strong></td>
<td>20,041</td>
<td>423,183</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>2,961,726</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: U.S. Census Bureau American Community Survey, 2016; Nielsen Co.; California Department of Finance E-5, May 2016; CoreLogic/DataQuick; California Department of Education; and SCAG

* Numbers with [ ] represent Jurupa Valley’s share of Riverside County. The other numbers represent the difference between Jurupa Valley and Riverside County.

Mapped jurisdictional boundaries are as of July 1, 2016 and are for visual purposes only. Report data, however, are updated according to their respective sources.
II. Population

Population Growth


- In 2016, the total population of the City of Jurupa Valley was 98,177.
- 4.2% of the total population of Riverside County is in the City of Jurupa Valley.
- The City of Jurupa Valley was incorporated in 2011, therefore data for previous years is not available.

Source: California Department of Finance, E-5, 2016
In 2016, the age group 35-54 had the largest share of the population, at 26.2 percent.

The group with the smallest population share was age group 0-4, at 7.8 percent.

In 2016, the age group 35-54 had the most total population, with 25,722.
### Population by Race/Ethnicity

**Hispanic or Latino of Any Race: 2016**

- In 2016, the share of Hispanic population in the city was 68.7 percent.

**Non-Hispanic White: 2016**

- In 2016, the share of Non-Hispanic White population in the city was 23.8 percent.
- Please refer to the Methodology section for definitions of the racial/ethnic categories.

Source: Nielsen Co., 2016
Non-Hispanic Asian: 2016

- In 2016, the share of Non-Hispanic Asian population in the city was 2.8 percent.

Non-Hispanic Black: 2016

- In 2016, the share of Non-Hispanic Black population in the city was 2.9 percent.
In 2016, the share of Non-Hispanic American Indian population in the city was 0.3 percent.

In 2016, the share of All Other Non-Hispanic population in the city was 1.5 percent.
III. Households

Number of Households (Occupied Housing Units)

- In 2016, the total number of households in the City of Jurupa Valley was 24,936 units.

- 3.5 percent of Riverside County’s total number of households are in the City of Jurupa Valley.

- In 2016, the city’s average household size was 3.9, higher than the county average of 3.2.
In 2016, 61.6 percent of all city households had 3 people or fewer.

About 18 percent of the households were single-person households.

Approximately 23 percent of all households in the city had 5 people or more.

Source: Nielsen Co., 2016
IV. Housing

Total Housing Production

Total Permits Issued for all Residential Units: 2012 - 2016

- Between 2012 and 2016, permits were issued for 538 residential units.
- The City of Jurupa Valley was incorporated in 2011, therefore data for previous years is not available.

Permits Issued for all Residential Units per 1,000 Residents: 2012 - 2016

- In 2012, the City of Jurupa Valley had 0 permits per 1,000 residents compared to the overall county figure of 13.8 permits per 1,000 residents.
- For the city in 2016, the number of permits per 1,000 residents increased to 0.9 permits. For the county overall, it decreased to 2.4 permits per 1,000 residents.

Source: Construction Industry Research Board, 2012 - 2016
**Single-Family Housing Production**

Permits Issued for Single-Family Units: 2012 - 2016

- Between 2012 and 2016, permits were issued for 499 single family homes.
- 99.4 percent of these were issued in the last 3 years.

Source: Construction Industry Research Board, 2012 - 2016

**Single-Family Permits Issued per 1,000 Residents: 2012 - 2016**

- In 2012, the City of Jurupa Valley issued 0 permits per 1,000 residents compared to the overall county figure of 8.8 permits per 1,000 residents.
- For the city in 2016, the number of permits issued per 1,000 residents increased to 0.9 permits. For the county overall, it decreased to 2.1 permits per 1,000 residents.

Source: Construction Industry Research Board, 2012 - 2016

Southern California Association of Governments
Multi-Family Housing Production

Permits Issued for Multi-Family Units: 2012 - 2016

Between 2012 and 2016, there were permits issued for 39 multi-family residential units.

Multi-Family Permits Issued per 1,000 Residents: 2012 - 2016

For the city in 2016, the number of permits per 1,000 residents remained at 0 permits. For the county overall, it decreased to 0.3 permits per 1,000 residents.
Home Sales Prices

Median Home Sales Price for Existing Homes: 2014 - 2016 (in $ thousands)

- In 2016, the median home sales price was $402,500, $70,500 higher than that in the county overall.
- Note: Median home sales price reflects resale of existing homes and provides guidance on the market values of homes sold.


- Between 2014 and 2016, the largest single year increase was 24.6 percent.
Housing Type

Housing Type by Units: 2016

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Housing Type</th>
<th>Number of Units</th>
<th>Percent of Total Units</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Single Family Detached</td>
<td>20,872</td>
<td>77%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single Family Attached</td>
<td>1,026</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-family: 2 to 4 units</td>
<td>788</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-family: 5 units plus</td>
<td>2,449</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobile Home</td>
<td>1,966</td>
<td>7.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>27,101</strong></td>
<td><strong>100%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: California Department of Finance, E-5, 2016

- The most common housing type is Single Family Detached.
- Approximately 81 percent were single family homes and 12 percent were multi-family homes.
Foreclosures

Number of Foreclosures: 2016

- There were a total of 6 foreclosures in 2016.
- Between 2007 and 2016, there were a total of 10 foreclosures.

Source: CoreLogic/DataQuick, 2016

Housing Cost Share

Percentage of Housing Cost for Renters and Homeowners: 2014

- Housing costs accounted for an average of 36.0 percent of total household income for renters.
- Housing costs accounted for an average of 24.7 percent of total household income for homeowners.

Source: U.S. Census American Community Survey, 2015
V. Transportation

Household Vehicle Ownership: 2016

- 27.2 percent of Jurupa Valley households own one or no vehicles, while 72.8 percent of households own two or more vehicles.

Sources: Nielsen Co., 2016
VI. Active Transportation

Over the course of the next 25 years, population growth and demographic shifts will continue to transform the character of the SCAG region and the demands placed on it for livability, mobility, and overall quality of life. Our future will be shaped by our response to this growth and the demands it places on our systems.

SCAG is responding to these challenges by embracing sustainable mobility options, including support for enhanced active transportation infrastructure. Providing appropriate facilities to help make walking and biking more attractive and safe transportation options will serve our region through reduction of traffic congestion, decreasing greenhouse gas emissions, improving public health, and enhancing community cohesion.

Beginning with the 2017 Local Profiles, SCAG will be providing information on the active transportation resources being implemented throughout our region. The 2017 Local Profiles initiates this enhanced active transportation element with a compilation of bicycle lane mileage by facility type at the county level. This data, provided by our County Transportation Commissions for the year 2012, will serve as a baseline to measure regional progress over subsequent years. It is expected that with each cycle of the Local Profiles, additional active transportation data resources will become available for inclusion in these reports at the local jurisdictional level. Information on rates of physical activity (walking) is available in the Public Health section of this report.

### Bike Lane Mileage by Class: 2012

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County</th>
<th>Class 1</th>
<th>Class 2</th>
<th>Class 3</th>
<th>Class 4</th>
<th>Total Miles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Imperial</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
<td>302</td>
<td>659</td>
<td>519</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1,482</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orange</td>
<td>259</td>
<td>706</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,052</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riverside</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>421</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Bernardino</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>276</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>503</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ventura</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>257</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>372</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SCAG Region</strong></td>
<td><strong>746</strong></td>
<td><strong>2,150</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,021</strong></td>
<td><strong>2</strong></td>
<td><strong>3,919</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: County Transportation Commissions, 2012

**Class 1 (Bike Path):** Separated off-road path for the exclusive use of bicycles and pedestrians.

**Class 2 (Bike Lane):** Striped on-road lane for bike travel along a roadway.

**Class 3 (Bike Route):** Roadway dedicated for shared use by pedestrians, bicyclists, and motor vehicles.

**Class 4 (Separated Bikeway):** Lane(s) separated from vehicle traffic by more than striping, with physical barriers such as grade separation, landscaping, or parking.
VII. Employment

*Employment Centers*

**Top 10 Places Where Jurupa Valley Residents Commute to Work: 2014**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Local Jurisdiction</th>
<th>Number of Commuters</th>
<th>Percent of Total Commuters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Riverside</td>
<td>3,897</td>
<td>11.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Jurupa Valley</td>
<td>3,289</td>
<td>9.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Ontario</td>
<td>2,250</td>
<td>6.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Los Angeles</td>
<td>1,653</td>
<td>4.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Corona</td>
<td>1,428</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Rancho Cucamonga</td>
<td>1,227</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. San Bernardino</td>
<td>1,214</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Fontana</td>
<td>1,165</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Anaheim</td>
<td>956</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Chino</td>
<td>796</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Other Destinations</td>
<td>17,217</td>
<td>49.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2017, LODES Data; Longitudinal-Employer Household Dynamics Program, [https://lehd.ces.census.gov/data/lodes/](https://lehd.ces.census.gov/data/lodes/)

- This table identifies the top 10 locations where residents from the City of Jurupa Valley commute to work.
- 9.4% work in the city where they live, while 90.6% commute to other places.
MAJOR WORK DESTINATIONS

* Top 10 work destinations in 2014 for City of Jurupa Valley residents. Please refer to the Employment section table for details.

** Based on the SCAG’s 2040 planned year data in the 2016-2040 RTP/SCS. Please note the HQTA layer is subject to change as SCAG continues to update its transportation network.

Source: SCAG, U.S. Census Bureau, 2017, LODES Dataset Version 7.2

City of Jurupa Valley

Major Work Destinations*

Commuter Rails

Major Airports

Ports

High Quality Transit Area**
Total Jobs

Total Jobs: 2007 - 2015

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Number of Jobs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>22,334</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>20,827</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>18,501</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>18,040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>18,478</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>24,504</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>26,503</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>26,817</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>27,130</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: California Employment Development Department, 2007 - 2015; InfoGroup; and SCAG

Jobs by Sector


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Number of Jobs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>4,537</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>4,040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>3,255</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>3,166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>3,255</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>3,605</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>3,568</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>3,996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>4,163</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: California Employment Development Department, 2007 - 2015; InfoGroup; and SCAG

- Total jobs include wage and salary jobs and jobs held by business owners and self-employed persons. The total job count does not include unpaid volunteers or family workers, and private household workers.

- In 2015, total jobs in the City of Jurupa Valley numbered 27,131, an increase of 21.5 percent from 2007.

- Manufacturing jobs include those employed in various sectors including food; apparel; metal; petroleum and coal; machinery; computer and electronic products; and transportation equipment.

- Between 2007 and 2015, the number of manufacturing jobs in the city decreased by 8.2 percent.
Construction jobs include those engaged in both residential and non-residential construction. Between 2007 and 2015, construction jobs in the city decreased by 3.7 percent.

Retail trade jobs include those at various retailers including motor vehicle and parts dealers, furniture, electronics and appliances, building materials, food and beverage, clothing, sporting goods, books, and office supplies. Between 2007 and 2015, the number of retail trade jobs in the city increased by 17.3 percent.
Jobs in the professional and management sector include those employed in professional and technical services, management of companies, and administration and support.

Between 2007 and 2015, the number of professional and management jobs in the city increased by 88 percent.
In 2015, the Transportation sector was the largest job sector, accounting for 18.6 percent of total jobs in the city.

Other large sectors included Education (17.4 percent), Manufacturing (14.5 percent), and Construction (14.3 percent).

See Methodology section for industry sector definitions.
Average Salaries


- In 2015, the average salary for jobs located in the city was $42,636.
- Note: Dollars are not adjusted for annual inflation.

Average Annual Salary by Sector: 2015 ($ thousands)

- In 2015, the employment sector providing the highest salary per job in the city was Construction ($71,527).
- The Leisure-Hospitality sector provided the lowest annual salary per job ($16,809).

Source: California Employment Development Department, 2003 - 2015
VIII. Retail Sales

Real Retail Sales


- Real (inflation adjusted) retail sales in the City of Jurupa Valley totalled $496 million in 2015.

Real Retail Sales per Person (in 2015 $ thousands): 2001 - 2015

- In 2015, retail sales per person for the city was $5,121.
IX. Education

Total Student Enrollment

K-12 Public School Student Enrollment: 2000 - 2016

- Between 2000 and 2016, total K-12 public school enrollment for schools within the City of Jurupa Valley increased by 921 students, or about 4.8 percent.

Student Enrollment by Grade

K-6 Public School Student Enrollment: 2000 - 2016

- Between 2000 and 2016, total public elementary school enrollment increased by 434 students or 4 percent.
Grades 7-9 Public School Student Enrollment: 2000 - 2016

- Between 2000 and 2016, total public school enrollment for grades 7-9 decreased by 7.9 students or 0.2 percent.

Grades 10-12 Public School Student Enrollment: 2000 - 2016

- Between 2000 and 2016, total public school enrollment for grades 10-12 increased by 495 students, about 13.2 percent.
In 2016, 67.8 percent of the population 25 years and over completed high school or higher.

In 2016, 10.8 percent of the population 25 years and over completed a Bachelor’s degree or higher.
X. Public Health

Beginning with the 2017 edition, the Local Profiles will be providing information on public health performance at the local jurisdictional level. Many adverse public health outcomes related to obesity and poor air quality may be preventable through the implementation of a more sustainable and integrated program of community and transportation planning at the regional and local levels. Evidence has shown that built environment factors play an important role in supporting healthy behavior and reducing rates of chronic diseases and obesity. For example, improved active transportation infrastructure, better accessibility to recreational open space, and the development of more walkable communities enhance opportunities for physical exercise and thereby result in a reduction of obesity rates, along with the chronic diseases associated with physical inactivity.

Public health data is not currently available for the City of Jurupa Valley.
XI. SCAG Regional Highlights

Regional Median Sales Price for Existing Homes: 2002 - 2016

- After reaching its peak in 2007, the median sales price for existing homes in the SCAG region dropped by almost half by 2009.
- In 2016, the median sales price had rebounded by about 69 percent from the 2009 low to $466,000.
- Median home sales price was calculated based on total existing home sales in the SCAG region.

Regional Retail Sales: 2005 - 2015

- Retail sales tend to follow closely with trends in personal income, employment rates, and consumer confidence.
- Before 2005, real (inflation adjusted) retail sales increased steadily by 11 percent before dropping by about 25 percent between 2005 and 2009.
- In 2015, total real retail sales in the SCAG region increased by about 29 percent since 2009.
XII. Data Sources

California Department of Education
California Department of Finance, Demographic Research Unit
California Employment Development Department, Labor Market Information Division
California State Board of Equalization
Construction Industry Research Board
InfoGroup
CoreLogic/DataQuick
Nielsen Company
U.S. Census Bureau
California Health Interview Survey
XIII. Methodology

SCAG’s Local Profiles utilize the most up-to-date information from a number of publicly available sources, including the U.S. Census Bureau, California Department of Finance, and the California Department of Education. In the event that public information is not available or is not the most recent, SCAG contracts with a number of private entities to obtain regional data. The following sections describe how each data source was compiled to produce the information displayed in this report.

Statistical Summary Table

In the Statistical Summary Table (page 3), the values in the field ‘Jurisdiction Relative to County/Region’ represent the difference between the jurisdiction’s value and the county/region value, except for the following categories which represent the jurisdiction’s value as a share of the county (or in the case of an entire county as a share of the region): Population, Number of Households, Number of Housing Units, Number of Jobs, Total Jobs Change, and K-12 Student Enrollment.

Median Age, Homeownership Rate, and Median Household Income are based on Nielsen Company data. Number of Housing Units is based on the 2010 Census and estimates from the California Department of Finance. Data for all other categories are referenced throughout the report.

Population Section

Where referenced, data from 2000 to 2016 was taken from the California Department of Finance’s (DOF) E-5 estimates, which were published in May 2016. This dataset was benchmarked to population figures from the 2000 and 2010 U.S. Decennial Censuses. Data relating to population by age group and by race/ethnicity was derived from the 2000 and 2010 U.S. Decennial Censuses, and Nielsen Co. The 2000 figure was based on U.S. Decennial Census figures for April 1, 2000 and the 2010 figure was based on U.S. Decennial Census figures for April 1, 2010.

Below are definitions for race and ethnicity, as provided by the U.S. Census Bureau.

The Hispanic or Latino origin category is:
- A person of Cuban, Mexican, Puerto Rican, South or Central American, or other Spanish culture or origin, regardless of race.

The race categories are:
- American Indian or Alaska Native – A person having origins in any of the original peoples of North and South America (including Central America), and who maintains tribal affiliation or community attachment.
- Asian – A person having origins in any of the original peoples of the Far East, Southeast Asia, or the Indian subcontinent including, for example, Cambodia, China, India, Japan, Korea, Malaysia, Pakistan, the Philippine Islands, Thailand, and Vietnam.
- Black or African American – A person having origins in any of the black racial groups of Africa, including those who consider themselves to be "Haitian."
• White – A person having origins in any of the original peoples of Europe, North Africa, or the Middle East.

• Some other race – This category includes Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander (a person having origins in any of the original peoples of Hawaii, Guam, Samoa, or other Pacific Islands) and all other responses not included in the "American Indian or Alaska Native," "Asian," "Black or African American," and "White" race categories described above.


**Households Section**

The 2000 figure was based on U.S. Decennial Census figures for April 1, 2000 and the 2010 figure was based on U.S. Decennial Census figures for April 1, 2010. Information for 2016 was supplied by the Nielsen Company. Average household size was developed using information from the California Department of Finance (DOF). Households by Size was calculated based on Nielsen Company data. Households refer to the number of occupied housing units.

**Housing Section**

Housing units are the total number of both vacant and occupied units. Housing units by housing type information was developed using data from the California Department of Finance (DOF). Age of housing stock information was provided by the Nielsen Company.

The number of residential units with permits issued was obtained using Construction Industry Research Board data, which are collected by counties and are self-reported by individual jurisdictions. It represents both new single family and new multi-family housing units that were permitted to be built. Please note that SCAG opted to report the annual number of permits issued by each jurisdiction which may be different than the number of housing units completed or constructed annually. This was done using a single data source which provides consistent data for all jurisdictions. The Construction Industry Research Board defines “multi-family housing” to include duplexes, apartments, and condominiums in structures of more than one living unit.

The median home sales price, compiled from CoreLogic/DataQuick, was calculated based on total resales of existing homes in the jurisdiction, including single family units and condominiums. The median price does not reflect the entire universe of housing in the jurisdiction, only those that were sold within the calendar year.

Housing Cost Share refers to the percentage of household income that is devoted to housing expenses. Housing cost share information for homeowners and renters is provided by the U.S. Census American Community Survey.
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Transportation Section

The journey to work data for the year 2000 was obtained by using the 2000 U.S. Decennial Census Summary File 3. Data for 2010 is based on the 2010 U.S. Census American Community Survey. Information for 2016 was provided by the Nielsen Company.

Active Transportation Section

Data sources for county bike lane mileage by facility classification was provided by the six County Transportation Commissions in the SCAG region.

Employment Section

Data sources for estimating jurisdiction employment and wage information include the 2010 U.S. Census Bureau Local Employment Dynamics Survey, and information from the California Employment Development Department, InfoGroup, and SCAG for years 2007-2015. In many instances, employment totals from individual businesses were geocoded and aggregated to the jurisdictional level.

Employment information by industry type is defined by the North American Industry Classification System (NAICS). Although the NAICS provides a great level of detail on industry definitions for all types of businesses in North America, for the purposes of this report, this list of industries has been summarized into the following major areas: agriculture, construction, manufacturing, wholesale, retail, information, finance/insurance/real estate, professional/management, education/health, leisure/hospitality, public administration, other services, and non-classified industries.

A brief description of each major industry area is provided below:

- **Agriculture**: Includes crop production, animal production and aquaculture, forestry and logging, fishing hunting and trapping, and support activities for agriculture and forestry.
- **Construction**: Includes activities involving the construction of buildings, heavy and civil engineering construction, and specialty trade contractors.
- **Manufacturing**: Includes the processing of raw material into products for trade, such as food manufacturing, apparel manufacturing, wood product manufacturing, petroleum and coal products manufacturing, chemical manufacturing, plastics and rubber products manufacturing, nonmetallic mineral product manufacturing and primary metal manufacturing.
- **Wholesale**: Includes activities that conduct business in the trade of raw materials and durable goods.
- **Retail**: Includes activities engaged in the sale of durable goods directly to consumers.
- **Information**: Includes activities that specialize in the distribution of content through a means of sources, including newspaper, internet, periodicals, books, software, motion pictures, sound recording, radio and television broadcasting, cable or
subscription programming, telecommunications, data processing/hosting, and other information mediums.

- **Finance/Insurance/Real Estate**: Includes businesses associated with banking, consumer lending, credit intermediation, securities brokerage, commodities exchanges, health/life/medical/title/property/casualty insurance agencies and brokerages, and real estate rental/leasing/sales.

- **Professional/Management**: Includes activities that specialize in professional/scientific/technical services, management of companies and enterprises, and administrative and support services. Establishment types may include law offices, accounting services, architectural/engineering firms, specialized design services, computer systems design and related services, management consulting firms, scientific research and development services, advertising firms, office administrative services, and facilities support services.

- **Education/Health**: Organizations include elementary and secondary schools, junior colleges, universities, professional schools, technical and trade schools, medical offices, dental offices, outpatient care centers, medical and diagnostic laboratories, hospitals, nursing and residential care facilities, social assistance services, emergency relief services, vocational rehabilitation services, and child day care services.

- **Leisure/Hospitality**: Includes activities involved in the performing arts, spectator sports, museums, amusement/recreation industries, traveler accommodations, and food and drink services.

- **Public Administration**: Includes public sector organizations, such as legislative bodies, public finance institutions, executive and legislative offices, courts, police protection, parole offices, fire protection, correctional institutions, administration of governmental programs, space research and technology, and national security.

- **Other Services**: Includes, for example, automotive repair and maintenance, personal and household goods repair and maintenance, personal laundry services, dry-cleaning and laundry services, religious services, social advocacy organizations, professional organizations, and private households

- **Non-Classified**: All other work activities that are not included in the North American Industry Classification System.

**Retail Sales Section**

Retail sales data is obtained from the California Board of Equalization, which does not publish individual point-of-sale data. All data is adjusted for inflation.

**Education Section**

Student enrollment data is based on public school campuses that are located within each jurisdiction’s respective boundary. Enrollment numbers by grade within a given jurisdiction are tabulated based upon data obtained from the California Department of Education.
Enrollment year is based on the end date of the school year; for example, enrollment data for the year 2000 refers to the 1999-2000 school year. City boundaries used in the dataset for all years is based on data provided by the Local Agency Formation Commission for each county in the region.

**Public Health Section**

Data sources for city and county obesity rates (share of population with a BMI of 30 or higher) and rates of physical activity (share of population that walked a minimum of 150 minutes each day) for the year 2014 was obtained through the California Health Interview Survey (AskCHIS: Neighborhood Edition). Chronic disease incidence rates for 2014 were also obtained through the California Health Interview Survey.

**Regional Highlights**

Information for this section was developed through data from CoreLogic/DataQuick and the California Board of Equalization.

**Data Sources Section**

In choosing data sources for use in this report, the following factors were considered:

- Availability for all jurisdictions in the SCAG region
- The most recognized source on the subject
- Data sources available within the public domain
- Data available on an annual basis

The same data sources are used for all Local Profiles (except where noted) to maintain overall reporting consistency. The jurisdictions are not constrained from using other data sources for their planning activities.

The preparation of this report has been financed in part through grants from the Federal Highway Administration and Federal Transit Administration, U.S. Department of Transportation, under the Metropolitan Planning Program, Section 104(f) of Title 23, U.S. Code. The contents of this report do not necessarily reflect the official views or policy of the U.S. Department of Transportation. Additional assistance was provided by the California Department of Transportation.
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