



ASSOCIATION of GOVERNMENTS

Main Office

818 West Seventh Street

12th Floor

Los Angeles, California

90017-3435

t (213) 236-1800

f (213) 236-1825

www.scag.ca.gov

Officers

President

Pam O'Connor, Santa Monica

First Vice President

Glen Becerra, Simi Valley

Second Vice President

Greg Pettis, Cathedral City

Immediate Past President

Larry McCallon, Highland

Executive/Administration Committee Chair

Pam O'Connor, Santa Monica

Policy Committee Chairs

Community, Economic and

Human Development

Bill Jahn, Big Bear Lake

Energy & Environment

Margaret Clark, Rosemead

Transportation

Paul Glaab, Laguna Niguel

MEETING OF THE

PLANS & PROGRAMS TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE

**Wednesday, June 8, 2011
1:30 p.m. – 3:30 p.m.**

**SCAG Offices
818 West 7th Street, 12th Floor
Board Room
Los Angeles, CA 90017
(213) 236-1800**

Videoconferencing is available with a 72-hour advance notice by contacting Margaret Lin at lin@scag.ca.gov or 213-236-1866.

Teleconferencing Information:
Number: 1-800-230-1093

Silent Live Web PowerPoint Presentations:

<https://www.webmeeting.att.com>

Meeting #: 8772145010 | Participant Code: 159785

If members of the public wish to review the attachments or have any questions on any of the agenda items, please contact Margaret Lin at 213-236-1866 or lin@scag.ca.gov

SCAG, in accordance with the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), will accommodate persons who require a modification of accommodation in order to participate in this meeting. If you require such assistance, please contact SCAG at (213) 236-1866 at least 72 hours in advance of the meeting to enable SCAG to make reasonable arrangements. To request documents related to this document in an alternative format, please contact (213) 236-1866.

February 2011

Charlie Larwood, Chair

Kevin Viera, Vice-Chair

Member

Alan De Salvio

Bill Trimble

Carol A. Gomez

Charlie Larwood

Danielle Coats

Dave Simpson

David Cordero

Diana Chang

Eric Shen

Franklin Dancy

Fred Zohrehvand

Genie McGaugh

Gloria Ohland

Gregory Nord

Jacob I. Alvarez

Kerry Cartwright

Kevin Viera

Kim Fuentes

Lisa Webber

Lori Abrishami

Marc Seferian

Marianne Kim

Mark Baza

Mark Herwick

Mark Roberts

Marlon Boarnet

Matt Dessert

Maureen El Harake

Maurice Eaton

Melissa Joshi

Michael Hollis

Miles Mitchell

Nancy Pfeffer

Richard Kite

Ryan Snyder

Sera Wirth

Shirley Medina

Steve DeGeorge

Terry Roberts

Ty Schuiling

Valarie McFall

Valerie Edwards

William Gayk

Representing

Antelope Valley AQMD

Mojave Desert AQMD

San Gabriel Valley COG

South Coast AQMD

Orange County Transportation Authority

WRCOG

Orange County COG

SCAG Region Special Districts

Westside Cities COG

Port of Long Beach

Morongo Band of Mission Indians

Arroyo Verdugo

Ventura County APCD

Move LA

Orange County Transportation Authority

Coachella Valley AG

Port of Los Angeles

Western Riverside COG

South Bay Cities COG

North LA County – South

Los Angeles County Metro

Las Virgenes/Malibu COG

American Automobile Association

Imperial County Transportation Commission

County of Los Angeles

Caltrans District 8

UCI Dept. of Planning, Policy, and Design

Imperial County APCD

Caltrans District 12

Caltrans District 11

Caltrans District 7

Metropolitan Water District of Southern California

City of Los Angeles

Gateway Cities COG

North LA County – North

Non-Motorized Transportation

SCAG Region Local Agency Formation Commissions

Riverside County Transportation Commission

Ventura County Transportation Commission/Ventura COG

Air Resources Board

San Bernardino Associated Governments

Transportation Corridor Agencies

Los Angeles Unified School District

Riverside County Planning Department

PLANS & PROGRAMS TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE JUNE 8, 2011

TIME *PG#*

1.0 CALL TO ORDER

(Charlie Larwood, OCTA and P&P TAC Chair)

2.0 PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD – Members of the public desiring to speak on items on the agenda, or items not on the agenda, but within the purview of the Plans & Programs Technical Advisory Committee, must fill out and present a speaker’s card to the assistant prior to speaking. Comments will be limited to three minutes. The chair may limit the total time for all comments to twenty minutes.

3.0 REVIEW AND PRIORITIZE AGENDA ITEMS

4.0 CONSENT CALENDAR

4.1 Approval Item

4.1.1 Minutes of May 10, 2011 P&P TAC Meeting

1

5.0 DISCUSSION ITEMS

5.1 Demolition Permit and RHNA Application
Replacement Determination
(Frank Wen, SCAG Staff)

Presentation: 10 min
Discussion: 10 min

*

SCAG staff will provide a presentation on the survey of local jurisdiction demolition permits and RHNA application replacement needs determination.

5.2 RHNA AB 2158 Local Planning Factors
Survey Information
(Ma’Ayn Johnson, SCAG Staff)

Presentation: 10 min
Discussion: 10 min

*

SCAG staff will provide a presentation on the AB 2158 Local Planning Factors survey.

* Attachment under separate cover

PLANS & PROGRAMS TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE JUNE 8, 2011

TIME *PG#*

- | | | | |
|-----|---|--|---|
| 5.3 | <u>Proposed Draft Alternative Scenarios</u>
<i>(Naresh Amatya, Mark Butala, and Jacob Lieb SCAG Staff)</i> | Presentation: 10 min
Discussion: 10 min | * |
|-----|---|--|---|

SCAG staff will discuss the current thinking on the proposed draft Alternative Scenarios.

- | | | | |
|-----|---|--|--|
| 5.4 | <u>RTP/SCS Performance Measure Subcommittee</u>
<i>(Naresh Amatya, SCAG Staff)</i> | Presentation: 10 min
Discussion: 10 min | |
|-----|---|--|--|

SCAG staff will report on the RTP/SCS Performance Measure Subcommittee discussions.

- | | | | |
|-----|--|--|--|
| 5.5 | Statewide Transportation System Needs Assessment
<i>(Naresh Amatya, SCAG Staff)</i> | Presentation: 10 min
Discussion: 10 min | |
|-----|--|--|--|

SCAG Staff will report on the CTC efforts to prepare the Statewide Transportation System Needs Assessment.

- | | | | |
|-----|---|---|-----------|
| 5.6 | RTP PEIR Update
<i>(Christine Fernandez, SCAG Staff)</i> | Presentation: 5 min
Discussion: 10 min | 10 |
|-----|---|---|-----------|

SCAG Staff will provide an update on the RTP PEIR.

6.0 FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS

- ◆ CEDP Process (Jacob Lieb/Frank Wen)
- ◆ Transportation Model Update (Jonathan Nadler)

7.0 ADJOURNMENT

The next meeting of the P&P TAC will be Tuesday, July 12, 2011 from 1:30 to 3:30pm.

Meeting of the
Plans & Programs Technical Advisory Committee (P&P TAC)
of the
Southern California Association of Governments

May 10, 2011

Minutes

THE FOLLOWING MINUTES ARE A SUMMARY OF ACTIONS TAKEN AND/OR DISCUSSIONS BY THE PLANS & PROGRAMS TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE. AUDIO OF THE ACTUAL MEETING IS AVAILABLE FOR LISTENING IN SCAG'S DOWNTOWN LOS ANGELES OFFICE.

The Plans & Programs Technical Advisory Committee held its May 10, 2011 meeting at SCAG's downtown Los Angeles Office.

Members Present

Ty Schuiling	San Bernardino Associated Governments
Nancy Pfeffer	Gateway Cities COG
Bill Trimble	San Gabriel Valley COG
Marianne Kim	American Automobile Association
Charlie Larwood	Orange County Transportation Authority
Walter Siembab	South Bay Cities COG - Alternate
Dave Simpson	Orange County COG
Gregory Nord	OCTA
Steve De George	Ventura County Transportation Commission/Ventura COG
Deborah Diep	OCCOG
Michael Hollis	Metropolitan Water District of Southern California
Gloria Ohland	Move LA
Kim Fuentes	South Bay Cities COG
Marc Seferian	Las Virgenes/Malibu COG
Naomi Guth	City of Los Angeles - Alternate
Lori Abrishami	Los Angeles County Metro
William Gayk	Riverside County Planning Department
Earl Withycombe	Air Resources Board - Alternate
Franklin Dancy	Morongo Band of Mission Indians
Genie McGaugh	Ventura County APCD
Mike Behen	North LA County - North - Alternate
Miles Mitchell	City of Los Angeles
Richard Kite	North LA County - North
Valarie McFall	Transportation Corridor Agenices
Steve Smith	San Bernardino Associated Governments
Valerie Edwards	Los Angeles Unified School District

Members Excused

Members Not Present

Aimee Kratovil	Federal Highway Administration - Alternate
Alan Ballard	Ventura County APCD - Alternate
Alan De Salvo	Antelope Valley AQMD/Mojave Desert AQMD
Aurora Wilson	Coachella Valley AG - Alternate
Beth Landrum	Caltrans District 11 - Alternate
Carol A. Gomez	South Coast AQMD
Connie Chung	County of Los Angeles - Alternate
Danielle Coats	WRCOG
Dave Peterson	North LA County - South - Alternate
David Cordero	SCAG Regional Special Districts
Diana Chang	Westside Cities COG
Dianna Watson	Caltrans District 7 - Alternate
Eric Shen	Port of Long Beach
Everett Evans	Caltrans District 12 - Alternate
Fernando Castro	Caltrans District 7 - Alternate
Fred Zohrehvand	Arroyo Verdugo
Grace Alvarez	Riverside County Transportation Commission - Alternate
James Camarillo	Caltrans District 8 - Alternate
Jolene Hayes	Port of Long Beach - Alternate
Karen Heit	Gateway Cities COG - Alternate
Kathryn Higgins	South Coast AQMD - Alternate
Kerry Cartwright	Port of Los Angeles
Kevin Viera	Western Riverside COG
Larry Stevens	San Gabriel Valley COG - Alternate
Lisa Webber	North LA County - South
Mark Baza	Imperial County Transportation Commission
Mark Herwick	County of Los Angeles
Mark Roberts	Caltrans District 8
Marlon Boarnet	UCI Department of Planning, Policy and Design
Martha Eros	Westside Cities COG - Alternate
Mary E. Pritchard	Los Angeles Unified School District - Alternate
Matt Dessert	Imperial County APCD
Maureen El Harake	Caltrans District 12
Maurice Eaton	Caltrans District 11
Melissa Joshi	Caltrans District 7
Monica N. Soucier	Imperial County APCD - Alternate
Rosa Lopez-Solis	Imperial County Transportation Commission - Alternate

Ryan Snyder	Non-Motorized Transportation
Sam Elters	Transportation Corridor Agencies - Alternate
Sarah Jepson	Los Angeles County Metro - Alternate
Sera Wirth	SCAG Region Local Agency Formation Commissions
Shirley Medina	Riverside County Transportation Commission
Stephen Finnegan	American Automobile Association - Alternate
Terry Roberts	Air Resources Board

1.0 CALL TO ORDER AND INTRODUCTIONS

The meeting was called to order at 1:33 p.m. by Charlie Larwood, OCTA & P&PTAC Chair. Chair Larwood announced two new committee members, William Gayk, Riverside County Planning Department and Gloria Ohland, Move LA.

2.0 PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD

There was a public comment from Tamanna Rahman of the American Lung Association, California. Ms. Rahman stated the American Lung Association is advocating for greater public health analysis in the SCS process. Ms. Rahman stated two documents have been submitted. The first is a letter to SCAG from 20 health organization that indicates specific RTP/SCS performance measures for RTP/SCS planning. The second document is the health and equity analysis of the draft metrics proposed by SCAG. Ms. Rahman stated a state wide coalition of public health advocates have developed a set of performance metrics for use in the RTP/SCS processes in order to infuse the health and equity perspective which has not been considered in the past. Further, Ms. Rahman stated there is increased recognition that the way a community is planned can not only reduce green house gas emissions but benefit greater public health objectives. Ms. Rahman concluded by stating the public health community is prepared to provide informative data to the committee for its planning efforts.

3.0 REVIEW AND PRIORITIZE AGENDA ITEMS

It was suggested agenda item 5.3, RTP/SCS Alternatives Scenario Planning and Performance Measures, may be moved up to agenda item 5.1 as a convenience to meeting participants. Chair Larwood agreed to move up this agenda item to the first discussion item.

4.0 CONSENT CALENDAR

4.1 Approval Item

4.1.1 Minutes of April 13, 2011 P&P TAC Meeting

There were no comments or corrections to the minutes and they were approved as submitted.

5.0 DISCUSSION ITEMS

5.1 RTP/SCS Alternatives Scenario Planning and Performance Measures

Naresh Amatya, SCAG Staff, presented an update on RTP/SCS Alternatives Scenario Planning and Performance Measures. Mr. Amatya stated this effort remains a work in progress and the main purpose is to solicit input from the committee before a formal recommendation is finalized.

Mr. Amatya stated transportation funding will be a critical issue shaping alternatives planning. Further, Mr. Amatya stated if the funding environment remains unchanged there will be a continued decrease in revenue available for transportation while regional transportation needs are increasing. Mr. Amatya stated in light of this there should be proper emphasis placed on the “no build” scenario as a basis for planning alternatives. Mr. Amatya stated it is expected 4 to 7 different alternative scenarios will be developed with a range of transportation investments, policy, land use scenarios and potentially different pricing strategies.

Mr. Amatya stated one approach for scenario building is to use an incremental approach based on different funding alternatives. Additionally, land use scenarios and energy costs will be mixed into alternatives planning. These scenarios will examine a blend of funding alternatives to support projects and will also combine land use planning. Mr. Amatya stated other issues to be examined include bicycle and pedestrian transportation modes as well as information learned from the Corridor System Management Plans.

Mr. Amatya stated fare increases may also be examined and also noted fare box increases may have ridership implications and as they only represent one-third of transportation funding this may not prove the best approach.

Mr. Amatya stated specifics on potential alternatives will be provided in the coming months and he foresees alternatives can be examined in detail over the course of the summer of 2011 in preparation for the Draft 2012 RTP to be released in November 2011.

In conclusion, Mr. Amatya stated the attached Draft 2012 RTP/SCS Goals and Performance Categories provide different measures that may be considered in crafting alternatives.

Chair Larwood led the committee through a discussion of this item. There was a public comment by Jessica Meaney, California Policy Manager, Safe Routes to School National Partnership. Ms. Meaney stated she supports discussions about performance measures. Ms. Meaney stated her organization and others support active transportation such as walking and bicycling and encourages the committee to continue to examine the importance of these transportation modes.

Charlie Larwood concluded this item by stating he will work with SCAG staff to examine creating a P&PTAC subcommittee to further discuss performance measures.

5.2 **Orange County Council of Government Sustainable Communities Strategies**

Dave Simpson, Orange County Council of Governments, reported on Orange County COG Sustainable Communities Strategies. Mr. Simpson stated SB 375 allows for subregional Councils of Governments and county transportation commissions to work together to develop a SCS for their subregion. Mr. Simpson stated Orange County has availed itself to this option and crafted its own SCS. Further, Mr. Simpson, stated the OC SCS is absent two factors. 1) It does not have mandates to cities. 2) It does not contain measurements.

Further, Mr. Simpson stated there are three parts of the OC SCS. 1) Land use strategies, 2) Transportation system strategies, 3) Best management practices.

Mr. Simpson indicated land use strategies include, 1) Support transit-oriented development, 2) Support infill housing development and redevelopment, 3) Support mixed-use development and improve walkability of communities, 4) Increase regional accessibility in order to

reduce vehicle miles traveled, 5) Improve jobs-housing ratio, 6) promote alternatives to single-occupant automobile use.

Transportation system strategies include, 1) improve and expand freeway, HOV, toll road, and express lane options to reduce delay, 2) Apply Transportation System Management practices to currently planned arterial streets, 3) Improve attractiveness of transit modes through enhanced service, frequency, convenience, and choices. Improve linkages between transit options to diminish automobile travel, 4) Expand and enhance Transportation Demand Management (TDM) practices to reduce barriers to alternate travel modes and attract commuters away from single occupant vehicle travel, 5) Continue to provide highway and roadway pricing measures.

Orange County's Best Management Practices include 1) Transportation infrastructure investments such as implementation of smart streets, improving links between travel modes, and providing enhanced bus stops. 2) Enhance capacity of the existing system through better management and operation of the system.

Chair Larwood led the committee through a discussion of this item. Mr. Simpson stated a complete copy of the SCS can be found at www.OC-SCS.org.

5.3 **Gateway Cities Council of Governments Sustainable Communities Strategies**

Nancy Pfeffer, Gateway Cities COG, presented the Gateway Cities COG Sustainable Communities Strategies. Ms. Pfeffer stated Gateway Cities opted for subregional delegation in early 2010. Further, Ms. Pfeffer stated their strategy involves an integrated set of activities designed to reduce the emission of green house gasses. Ms. Pfeffer added their strategy enables their subregion to quantitate and measure GHG reductions based on specifically designed programs.

Ms. Pfeffer added their approach was to achieve GHG reduction targets based on SCAG's 2005 regional benchmark. It is based on integrating specific transportation strategies and travel demand management efforts such as compressed work week schedules for city employees, ridesharing programs, trip reduction ordinances for new development and interactive planning with land use and transit.

Ms. Pfeffer added land use strategies involve a group of 10 cities engaging in land use modifications with the remaining cities adopting general plans. Additionally there is an effort to link land use and transit including 17 projects related to Measure R.

Ms. Pfeffer presented a graph showing their anticipated GHG reduction of 8.43% by 2020 and 14.93% by 2035. These are achieved through a mix of transportation, travel demand management measures (TDM), land use and regional projects. These targeted reductions exceed SCAG's regional targets. Ms. Pfeffer presented a list of 17 key regional transportation projects designed to collectively reduce transportation bottlenecks and achieve reductions in GHG emissions.

Further, Ms. Pfeffer stated their effort has involved extensive outreach to the public and key stakeholders beginning November 2010. In May 2011, approval to submit the draft SCS to SCAG was received by the COG Board. Ms. Pfeffer stated they are seeking final approval of the plan in early June 2011. Ms. Pfeffer concluded their SCS strategy achieves GHG reductions and has allowed member cities to retain local control.

Chair Larwood led the committee through a discussion of the Sustainable Communities Strategies.

5.4 **RHNA Methodology and Status Growth Forecast Adjustment**

Frank Wen, SCAG Staff, presented an update on the RHNA Methodology and Status of Growth Forecast Adjustment. Mr. Wen presented a report describing the Integrated Growth Forecasting process, methodology, and results which will serve as the framework and foundation for the development of the 2012 RTP/SCS and Regional Housing Needs Assessment Plan. Mr. Wen described the key RHNA methodology components as, 1) determine existing housing needs, 2) determine projected housing need for the RHNA planning period. This involves total regional housing need determination by HCD and the RHNA allocation methodology by RHNA Subcommittee, CEHD and RC, 3) the integration of the RHNA process and the RTP/SCS development process.

Mr. Wen further noted the allocation plan shall allocate housing units within the region consistent with the development pattern included in the SCS. Additionally, the SCS shall identify areas within the region sufficient

to house an eight-year projection of the regional housing need for the region.

Mr. Wen stated in order for HCD to determine the total housing need and its allocation by income category, a methodology is followed. Mr. Wen stated the process involves ongoing consultation between SCAG, California Department of Finance (DOF), and HCD to formulate a methodology to project ranges of population and household growth and their distribution for all economic segments. The steps used for the process is as follows.

- 1) Determine the SCAG region population growth for the RHNA period.
- 2) Determine the headship rate.
- 3) Determine SCAG's regional household growth by applying the headship rate to the population growth.
- 4) Determine the healthy market vacancy rate (around 3.5%).
- 5) Determine the replacement needs. This is based on demolition data from each jurisdiction.
- 6) The total housing needs for the SCAG region is determined using the following formula;
[Household Growth / (1- vacancy rate)] + Replacement Needs.
- 7) The total housing needs by income category is based on county median household income data from the Census.

Mr. Wen presented to the committee a chart entitled Household Distribution by RHNA Income Category Based on County Median Household Income from American Community Survey from 2005 to 2009 which breaks down by income group for each city.

Mr. Wen stated that no more than six months before the adoption of the RHNA Allocation Methodology SCAG must conduct a survey of all local jurisdictions based on a list of factors that may affect the proportion of housing allocation in their area. Examples of these include existing and projected jobs and housing relationships, lack of capacity for sewer or water service, availability of land suitable for urban development or conversion to residential use and lands preserved or protected from urban development. Additionally, housing law requires jurisdictions that already has a disproportionately high share of households in an income category will be allocated a lower proportion of housing in that category.

Further, Mr. Wen stated Allocation Methodology may be affected by the Fair Share/Over Concentration adjustment. Mr. Wen stated allocation methodology must avoid or mitigate the over concentration of low income

groups in any jurisdiction in order to achieve objectives of social equity, increasing the supply and mix of housing types, tenure and affordability. Mr. Wen noted the CEHD adopted the recommendation from the RHNA Subcommittee that each community should close the gap between their current income household distribution and the county distribution by adjusting their respective levels to 110% of the county average.

Mr. Wen stated this has been a collaborative process involving subregional coordinators, jurisdiction representatives, RC and Policy Committees, SCAG Management and Staff, consultant teams, state DOF/HCD as well as other MPOs. Mr. Wen further stated the revised Census data has been incorporated into the process and it's being prepared to be sent to local jurisdictions. Mr. Wen fielded comments and questions from committee members.

6.0 **FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS**

CEDP Process (Jacob Lieb/Frank Wen)

Modeling for Dummies (Jonathan Nadler/Frank Wen)

Transportation Model Update (Jonathan Nadler)

7.0 **ADJOURNMENT**

The meeting adjourned at 3:52 p.m. The next meeting of the Plans & Programs Technical Advisory Committee will be held on Wednesday, June 8, 2011 from 1:30 p.m. to 3:30 p.m.
