

Regional Housing

From: Susan Decker [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, August 14, 2019 11:30 AM
To: Regional Housing
Subject: RHNA comments

When we purchased a home for the first time we bought in a city that was affordable and commuted to work. We didn't expect to live in a Beverly Hills or an upscale City to start out.

Never force low income housing or affordable housing or any type of new housing in a neighborhood/community/city that it doesn't blend with. Never put a 3 story next to single story family homes. You must consider the existing homeowners value of their property and do only housing that is like and similar, or housing that improves the quality of the neighborhood. It's not ethical to destroy existing homeowners' property values because you 'need' something that is completely different to satisfy a new group of people who you want to live in that City.

In City's that are built out - maybe shopping mall conversion where there's a lot of parking could work - parking is a problem when you bring in high density. New homeowners primarily store things other than cars in their garage and then they park their cars in surrounding neighborhoods which hinders the existing property owners home living and parking. Also consider City traffic patterns, some of these cities were built for minimal traffic and when their RHNA numbers are increased it is a nightmare to traverse the streets it brings gridlock. People then look at Sacramento and know that they have only dictated without any thought or logic and animosity it built, not a good working relationship.

Builders and Developers should never be allowed to donate money to PACs that directly donate money to City Council seats and/or State Candidates that have votes or input on new housing numbers or locations. It's a terrible conflict of interests leading to greed and corruption. I have witnessed it first hand.

Susan Decker

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] cell