## Metro First/Last Mile Training: Collaborating in a Diverse Context April 10, 2018





## First/Last Mile Training Program

Jacob Lieb, Senior Director, First/Last Mile Planning Alison Kendall, KENDALL PLANNING + DESIGN, Prime Consultant Deborah Murphy Urban Design + Planning, Zelda Harrison of Zeldesign







## **Project Purpose**



### **First/Last Mile Program Objectives**

- Expand the reach of transit
- Improve safety of transit users
- Improve rider experience

### **First/Last Mile Training Objectives**

- Provide experience with first/last mile planning methods
- Prompt development of improvement plans
- Understand how to work with Metro



## Workshop Materials: Workbook

### The First, Last, and Toughest Mile.

FIRST/LAST MILE TRAINING WORKBOOK





### **Metro Sustainable Transportation Plans**

Metro







## **Age and Transit Dependency**

## **Age & Mobility**

### 63% of transit dependent riders are 65 Years or older

2011 Metro On-Board Survey



2012 RTP/SCS



## **Pedestrian + Bicyclist Safety**



## **Los Angeles County Public Health**

## **Health & Mobility**



"The economic costs of obesity for Los Angeles County for both the public & private sectors due to health care costs and lost productivity costs is roughly \$6 billion annually."



The California Center for Public Health Advocacy

A 25% reduction in L.A. County obesity related health care costs would pay for the entire Measure R transit expansion program.



## **First/Last Mile Station Areas**

### First Last Mile Analysis-Transit Stations/Stops in LA County



## LA County: Diverse Station Areas

- 1. FLORENCE/LA BREA, LAX LRT LINE–Older Downtown High Density- Light Rail Transit
- 2. VAN NUYS, ORANGE BRT LINE Older Retail Strip High Density Bus Rapid Transit
- 3. BERGAMOT/26<sup>TH</sup>, EXPO LRT LINE Media Job Center-Moderate MU Density-Light Rail Transit
- 4. LYNWOOD, GREEN LINE Older Auto Strip, Moderate Density-Light Rail Transit under Freeway
- 5. DOWNTOWN AZUSA, GOLD LINE Older Downtown New MU Developmt– Light Rail Transit
- 6. PALMDALE HIGH SPEED RAIL STATION Rural –Low Density –High Speed and Commuter Rail





## Inglewood New LRT to LAX

1. FLORENCE/LA BREA, INGLEWOOD CRENSHAW TO LAX LRT LINE



## Van Nuys Civic Center BRT Station

### 2. VAN NUYS CIVIC CENTER, ORANGE LINE – BUS RAPID TRANSIT + FUTURE VAN NUYS BRT/LRT

Older Retail Strip+Civic Center- High Density – Bus Rapid Transit









### Lynwood: Green Line -Freeway Median





### **Santa Monica Bergamot Station**



### **Downtown Azusa Light Rail Station**



(M)

Metro

5. DOWNTOWN AZUSA, GOLD LINE – Older Downtown – New MU Development – New LRT

Seres Col

## Palmdale High Speed Rail Station Area



#### **Phase 1: Analyze Access Barriers and Strengths**

#### PHASE 1A: DATA ANALYSIS + MAPPING

- GIS Mapping Tools
- ATSP Station Analysis of Walkshed
  and Bikeshed
- Transportation Injury Mapping System (TIMS)





#### PHASE 1B: WALK AUDIT TO OBSERVE CONDITIONS

- Station Area Checklists
- Transit, Bicycle and Pedestrian Facilities
- Micro-scale, Ground Truthing
- Note Strengths as well as Access Barriers





### **PHASE 2: Identifying Improvements and Developing a FLM Action Plan**



2A. Review Pathway Toolkit and Case Studies **Pedestrian Improvements Bicycle Improvements and Disabled Access** Survey Transit Connections, Drop Off, Car Share Safety Convenience and Attractiveness **FLMP** Case Studies

#### 2B. Mapping Pathway Network and Improvements

Identify Key Problems/Solutions Pedestrian Safety, Access and Comfort Other Users Safety, Access and Comfort Urban Design, Signage and Legibility **Presentation of Charrette Findings** 





### **PHASE 3: Refining First Last Mile Pathway Network Improvements**



				-	1000	
25	-18	New York: Signal - Single Poor	64	Bow	_	24
	14	Here Traffic Signal - Post & Mast Arm	66	- Reporter		50
	1.9	New Yolfs Signal	IA.	\$290.000		\$191.001
	18.4	Egra Mobiliator (prototal artic)	84.	\$ \$ animal	4	281,245
	18	MYS Parting Basion (Salet)	84.	\$5.ME	14	253,000
	7.6	Pedentrian Josephia Phase	- 84	Spann.	11	- brane
	12	Peletiter (Continen) Spra	64	\$900	148	315,000
	1.8	Partnettari Pueli Kultur selli Yigi (hichalat marpent)	68.	Bigme .		\$2,410
	18	Period in The Ballie and Sign (attaches well priod	84.	256		84
	1.46	Dramak (Brigel Contracted)	84	\$4,000	- 94	Baldrook
23	4.91	Couvels (N.Paterard Failing Markets)	- 84	23,000	4	Balcon
51	1.16	Thermoplastic Parenters Multing (Sprittels, Arises, Lebers, etc.)	84.	1 Sept	10	3,500
81	1.15	Carls Rang (Inc) detectable saming tarbact)	84.	Parente .	1061	Intan
51	114	Particle Soluting Two	IA.	Equal.	1.8	21ate
20	1.9	Constant Sciencesh	39.	Tel	dillos.	10,000
	1.16	Contrast Curit and Curie	LIF.	Rep.	1.000	214.000
	1.77	Remove Concrete Carb and Curber		24	1.000	\$16,800
	6.8	Barrow Edwards	10.	21	5,000	21,000
	1.18	Trees / Latribuager	- Made	- Ben 000		Baginette
	4.88	En Cay Malmenance	68.	\$Lame	1	24,516
	1.01	Direct Lighting	54.	91,000	74	\$100,000
	1.44	Balishy Type	EA.	Sam		10.000
	1.43	But Dieller	88.	\$12,000		fg.4,mex
	1.04	Band	10.	21,600	100	10,000
	1.85	Test Acaptale	68.	hate-		Hart
		Padmitter 3.4948				\$1.000.00
	4.4	Biock Farking Disected/U ratio	84	Date:	64	Talant
	8.8	Brock Parking (P-block wave rails)	EA.	2751	16	\$15,000
	-8.3	Wesfinding Signs	84	5200		545,000
	44	One way Sold Twill wild privat maker - Individu Paramet	-	\$1,910,000		84
	14.	One way Gode Nask with striped huffer includes Parement momentation and Gode & Batter ageing and articing	-	Busine	- CA - 1	Manales
	4.6	Oversep Cpck Test org: taket mediar - includes righting and altipling (in-parameter instruction)	mir	Pgn.oss	1	D.Misso
1	42	One was Carlo Task w() whiled haffer - instants signing and utilizing (or parameter momentation)	-	(treasure)	1	Inne
	1.8	Cars I Bie (are filping Solars & Research Republic	Mile	349.000		Site and
		Care I Mire Later (Nation and Briston Cold.	10.0	246,000	1.4	- Dourse
	4.00	Case III Wile Foute (Danies and Driving Only)	MW	IT.000	44	Dist.out
	4.44	Final a Daniel failed to extend on the interior that	14	\$18,000	14	tant me
		and a local second of the second				-



#### **3A. Community Engagement in Refining Pathway**

Pedestrian, Cyclist, Transit User, Driver Concerns Develop Public Support from Key Constituencies Show Safety Data to Support Improvements Include features for wide range of stakeholders

**3B. Technical Input to Customize Improvements** Review Nearby Best Practice Improvements Identify Unique Conditions and Local Concerns Adapt Proposed Improvements to Local Concerns Develop Before/After Performance Measures

#### **Phase 4: Developing Costs, Phasing and Funding Options**



#### PHASE 4A: DEVELOP IMPROVEMENT PHASING AND PRIORITIES

- Integrate Improvements with
  New Development Projects
- Combine Bicycle Lanes, Crosswalks and Roadway Striping
- Prioritize Projects with Supportive Property Owners
- Set Performance Measures: Safety, Mode Shift, Tax Revenue

### PHASE 4B: DETERMINE COSTS AND FUNDING OPTIONS

- Metro Technical Assistance with Estimating Unit Costs
- Metro First/Last Mile Planning & Implementation Funds
- Metro Call for Projects, Prop C, Measures R & M
- Cal EPA Cap + Trade including AHSC
- Caltrans Active Transportation & Caltrans Regional Surface Transportation Program





## Phase 1A: Analyzing Walkshed Data

What can we learn from the GIS maps?

Commercial corridor and government service center

High pollution and poverty index (CalEnviroscreen)





Age Distribution of Population

### Jobs/Housing Density

Travel Mode to Work

#### KSI Bike/Ped Collisions

## SafeTREC TIMS Injury Mapping

Types of Collisions:

Bicycle
 Pedestrian

MAP OF **BIKE/PED INJURY COLLISIONS** 2006-2014 Red = Fatal Orange = **Serious** Yellow=Injury White=Pain **TIMS uses SWITRS** data generated by Police reports,

performance

measure



2016 \*

13

Colbath Ave

Costello Ave

Hatteras St

\*

Emelita

+

Terms of Use

Costello

Victory Blvd

## **ATSP GIS Mapping Tools**





Van Nuys Blvd. is part of Regional Active Transportation Network High rate of bike and pedestrian injuries

### **GIS Data Mapping Use**



- Do you use GIS mapping tools often? Only for parcel research, zoning, not transportation planning. Smaller and poorer cities have less GIS capability. Larger and rapidly growing cities have more. Need staff training and standardized data formats
- 2. Do you use TIMS injury mapping? Rarely— most didn't know it was available. Some use their own SWITRS data to identify High Injury Network or focus areas. (ie LA Vision Zero)
- **3.** Use ATSP GIS maps? Rarely—only for applying for Metro or ATP Grants for required data. Many unaware of ATSP GIS resource.







## 10:10 AM WALK AUDIT TRAINING



## Walk Audit Training

- Bring people together to discover access barriers and strengths and observe behavior of transit users.
- Focus on evaluating conditions walking to and from transit station
- **Groups of 5-7 people** will walk for about **1 hour** evaluating conditions on city streets for about **1 mile**
- **Document** route on an aerial map, with photographs and on checklists
- GOAL: Exchange perspectives, understand experience of transit users, pedestrians, cyclists, disabled





## Walk Audit Instructions

- 1. Make sure your **Station Name and Route #** is at the top of each page, as well as the weather condition and team member names and email addresses
- 2. The **Team Leader** will use the **Walk Audit Map** to notate the **Strengths, Barriers and Observed Behaviors** with the symbols shown on the Walk Audit Map. Use the red, blue and green sharpies to make the notations with a circle, line or shaded area. The **Observer** will document the observations on the Observations Sheet. For example put B-1 for Barrier #1 on the map. Use the circle for a spot location, a line for a linear location and the shading for an area.



3. The **Photographer's Assistant** will document the photos taken of Strengths and Barriers along with the precise location of the photos on the Walk Audit on the Photographer Instructions Page and/or Map. Add any notes on the back of the page.



# Walk Audit: Team Leader Instructions & Observations

# WALK LEADER

n Name/Route #\_\_\_\_\_ Weather Condition:

Date & Time: \_\_\_\_

The team leader should review the Team Leader Checklist to assure that you have all your proper materials before departing for your walk

### Checklists-Assign one person to observe the conditions identified on each of the five checklists. One person can be assigned to more than one checklist.

#### There are 5 checklists:

- Safety-for issues related to safety and comfort
- Aesthetics—for issues related to the sense of place and experience
- Accessibility-for issues related to sidewalk, crosswalk
- Transfer-Transfer for issues related to transfers between transit pathway, drop-off, parking and bicycle facilities modes
- Behaviors-documenting people's behavior and response to the environment around the station area

Consider multiple constituencies (gender, age, abilities, etc.)in your observations



#### Team Instructions

- Make sure to add the Route # and the team member's name, email address to all the team leader instructions, photographer instructions, walk audit maps and checklists.
- Assign one person to take the assigned photos and another to document the photographs, including the location, on the photo index sheet - you only need one or two examples of each barrier to show the character of the route.
- Use the aerial photos to document strengths, barriers and observed behaviors according to the instructions on the maps

#### When you return to the training site

- Please return the clipboards and safety vest at the check-in desk
- Keep your checklists, marked up aerial photos, and notes with you for use during the charrette
- Meet as a team to complete the 5 checklists and tally up the total score on each checklist based on the conditions that your team observed on the Walk Audit. Note special characteristics and add include any additional comments that your team has about the route on the last page
- Assign one person to provide a summary of your team's findings at the report back session

TEAM MEMBER	ROLES	EMAIL
	Team Leader	
	Observer	
	Photographer	
	Photographer's Assistant	
	Safety & Accessibility Issues	
	Aesthetics & Transfers Issues	
M	Behavior Issues	
Wetro		<u>.</u>

### WALK AUDIT OBSERVATION

Station Name/Route #
Date & Time:
Observer's Name:

Instructions: Use the following form to document the Barriers, Strengths and Observed Behaviors that your team observes on your Walk Audit. Numbers must correspond to the numbering on the Walk Audit Map. Use the back side of this sheet if you require more space.

BARRIERS			
B-1			
B-2			
B-3			
B-4			
B-5			
B-6			
B-7			
B-8			
B-9			
- !			
STRENGTHS			
STRENGTHS			
STRENGTHS        S-1        S-2			
STRENGTHS        S-1        S-2        S-3			
STRENGTHS        S-1        S-2        S-3        S-4			
STRENGTHS        S-1        S-2        S-3        S-4        S-5			
STRENGTHS        S-1        S-2        S-3        S-4        S-5        S-6			
STRENGTHS        S-1        S-2        S-3        S-4        S-5        S-6        S-7			
STRENGTHS        S-1        S-2        S-3        S-4        S-5        S-6        S-7        S-8			
STRENGTHS        S-1        S-2        S-3        S-4        S-5        S-6        S-7        S-8        S-9			
STRENGTHS        S-1        S-2        S-3        S-4        S-5        S-6        S-7        S-8        S-9        S-10			
STRENGTHS        S-1        S-2        S-3        S-4        S-5        S-6        S-7        S-8        S-9        S-10        S-10			

#### OBSERVED BEHAVIORS

S-13 S-14 S-15 S-16 S-17 S-18 S-19 S-20 S-21

)-1	
)-2	
)-3	
)-4	

## Walk Audit: Station Area Checklists

Strongly

Agree/Ample

4 5

4 5

4 5

4 5

Strongly

Agree/Ample

4 5

Somewhat/

Adequate

1 2 3

STATION AREA CHECKLIST	Station Name/R Date & Time: Team Member	oute #  Name:		
1. SAFETY		Disagree/ Lacking	Sc A	omewhat/ \dequate
1.1 Adequate lighting. Regularly spaced and frequent lighting that is dire sidewalk and any bikeways. Provides sufficient illu	cted towards the mination.	1	2	3
1.2 Eyes-on-the-street. People are out walking, which makes it feel safe. C and entries are not covered or obscured. People ar see or hear me if I needed assistance.	round-floor windows re nearly who would	1	2	3
1.3 Well maintained public realm. Sidewalks are smooth and without cracks, vegetation	ion is trimmed, etc.	1	2	3
1.4 Safety buffer for bikes. Bikes are adequately separated from vehicle travel and quality of buffer-sufficient width, painted mat	lanes. Consider type erial, bollards, etc.	1	2	3

**STATION AREA** CHECKLIST

2.	AESTHETICS	RA	Disagree/ Lacking

Station Name/Route # Date & Time:

Team Member Name:

2.1 Sense of place. Sense of place.

design that sets this space apart from other areas. A special sense ofplace.



STATION AREA CHECKLIST	Station Name/F Date & Time: Team Member	oute # _ - Name: _				
3. ACCESSIBILI	TY	Disagree/ Lacking	Se /	omewhat/ Adequate	Stroi Agree/J	ng <b>l</b> y 'Amp
3.1 High quality sidewalks. Sidewalks are large enough for pedestrians to walk, comfortably in opposing directions. There are very sidewalk quality (e.g. smooth paving and the signag back). Vehicles are not blocking the pedestrian righ	, pass, and jog few disruptions to the ge and poles are set t-of-way.	1	2	3	4 !	5
3.2 Clear, safe crossings. Signalized intersections are provided that allow am the street, frequent crossings, and are a walkable d median for people to rest 1/2 way), for people of all	ple time to cross istance (or provide a I abilities. Crosswalks	1	2	3	4 !	5
are supplied with functioning push buttons and are	e painted for safety.					
are supplied with functioning push buttons and are	e painted for safety.					
STATION AREA	e painted for safety. Station Name/Rou Date & Time:	te #				
STATION AREA CHECKLIST	e painted for safety. Station Name/Rou Date & Time: Team Member Na	te #  ame:				
STATION AREA CHECKLIST 4.TRANSFERS	station Name/Rou Date & Time: Team Member Na	te # ame: Disagree/ Lacking	Sorr	iewhat/ equate	Strong Agree/An	ļy nple
Are supplied with functioning push buttons and are STATION AREA CHECKLIST 4.TRANSFERS 4.1 Clear transit transfer signage. Transit information is posted for all modes. Wayfindir signage directs passengers to transfer points and con	e painted for safety. Station Name/Rou Date & Time: Team Member Na Control of the safety of the safe	te # arme: Disagree/ Lacking	Sorr Ada	rewhat/ equate	Strong Agree/An 4 5	ļly nple
are supplied with functioning push buttons and are STATION AREA CHECKLIST 4. TRANSFERS 4.1 Clear transit transfer signage. Transit information is posted for all modes. Wayfindir signage directs passengers to transfer points and con 4.2 Real-time information. Real-time (e.g. next bus/train) signage is available an	e painted for safety. Station Name/Rou Date & Time: Team Member N: Control Control Con	te # arme: Disagree/ Lacking ]	Sorr Adu 2	eewhat/ equate 3 4	Strong Agree/An 4 5 4 5	ļly nple
are supplied with functioning push buttons and are STATION AREA CHECKLIST 4. TRANSFEERS 4. 1 Clear transit transfer signage. Transit information is posted for all modes. Wayfindir signage directs passengers to transfer points and con 4.2 Real-time information. Real-time (e.g. next bus/train) signage is available an	e painted for safety. Station Name/Rou Date & Time: Team Member Na Control Control Control Ing directional Intection locations. d easy to see.	te # ime: Disagree/ Lacking 1	Sorr Add	ewhat/ equate 3 4 3 4	Strong Agree/An 4 5 4 5	ļy nple
are supplied with functioning push buttons and are STATION AREA CHECKLIST 4. TRANSFERS 4. TRANSFERS 4. Clear transit transfer signage. Transit information is posted for all modes. Wayfindir signage directs passengers to transfer points and con 4.2 Real-time information. Real-time (e.g. next bus/train) signage is available an STATION AREA	e painted for safety. Station Name/Rou Date & Time: Team Member N: Control of the safety of the	te # arme: Disagree/ Lacking ] ] Route #	Sorr Ada 2	ewhat/ equate 3 4 3 4	Strong Agree/An 4 5 4 5	ly nple

Circle One:

During My Walk I Saw People Who Were: \*

5.1 Avoiding sidewalks.

5.



### Narrow sidewalks







Uplifted sidewalks

Poor sidewalk paving





Sidewalk Obstructions

Obstructions blocking drivers view of pedestrians











Poorly marked Crosswalks







Steep driveways







Lack of crosswalk



Lack of Pedestrian Crossing at Transit Stops



Lack of Marked Crosswalk



Vacant Lots and Blank Walls/Inactive frontages





### Clear, safe crossings















High quality sidewalks







Safety buffer for pedestrians



### **Pedestrian Lighting**







Safety buffer for bikes





Clear safety signage and traffic calming









Beeline

Metro









### Pedestrian amenities







### High quality signage





# Real-time Transit information

(M)

Metro







Attractive kiosks & vendor areas





![](_page_54_Picture_1.jpeg)

People enjoying themselves

![](_page_54_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_55_Picture_1.jpeg)

Drivers not yielding to pedestrians

![](_page_55_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_56_Picture_1.jpeg)

### Vehicles blocking sidewalk

![](_page_56_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_56_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_57_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_57_Picture_2.jpeg)

Drivers blocking crosswalks

![](_page_58_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_58_Picture_2.jpeg)

Risky behavior: not crossing at crosswalk or corner

![](_page_59_Picture_1.jpeg)

Lack of adequate bicycle parking

![](_page_59_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_60_Picture_1.jpeg)

Biking on sidewalks due to lack of safe on-street cycling facilities

![](_page_60_Picture_3.jpeg)

## Walk Audit: Map of Routes

![](_page_61_Figure_1.jpeg)

Routes link schools, housing, businesses to transit station, serve variety of transit users

![](_page_61_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_61_Picture_4.jpeg)

### Walk Audit: Aerial Photo with Each Route

The dashed portions of a route line indicate that your team isn't responsible for evaluating that portion of the route as another team will complete the evaluation

Use the map symbols to make notations on the map and to describe Barriers, Strengths and Behaviors on the Walk Audit Observations Forms

![](_page_62_Picture_3.jpeg)

Metro

![](_page_62_Picture_4.jpeg)