Active Transportation Database and Regional Bikeway Shapefile Modeling Task Force 9-27-17

Rye Baerg Senior Regional Planner



Background

- Bicycle Data Clearinghouse Released in 2012
- Allows storage of manual counts
- Primarily focused on bicyclists





Original Deliverables

- Conducting Bicycle and Pedestrian Count Manual
- Count Forms
- Literature Review
- Modeling Integration White Paper
- Union Station Bike Count Report



Goals of the Update

- Integrate Pedestrian Data
- Improve Usability
- Improve Data Retrieval and Reporting
- Support Mobile App Integration
- Provide a Planning Tool for ATP and other Projects
- Integrate Automated Counters
- Support Regional Modeling Efforts

SCAG Manual



SCAG Auto



Portland



Deleware Valley

Relationships for sampleData Monday, November 28, 2016 TC_HEADER TC VOLCOUNT TC BIKECOUN RECORDNUM DVRPCNUM TAKENBY COUNTDATE COUNTDATE PRJ SETFLAG COUNTTIME TC CLACOUN REQUESTDATE TOTALCOUNT TOTAL RECORDNUM COUNTERID WEATHER OUTCOUNT COUNTDATE CREATEHEADERDATE AM12 INCOUNT IMPORTDATADATE COUNTTIME AM1 AM2 AM3 AM4 AM5 AM6 AM7 AM6 AM7 AM9 AM10 AM11 PM12 PM12 PM12 PM12 PM12 PM12 PM5 PM6 PM7 PM8 PM7 PM8 PM7 PM8 COUNTLANE COMPLETE SETDATE BIKES CARS_AND_TLRS COMMENTS AMENDING AX2_LONG TC_PEDCOUNT BUSES PMENDING DVRPCNUM AX2 6 TIRE SPEEDLIMIT COUNTDATE AX3_SINGLE FC COUNTTIME MCD AX4_SINGLE LT_5_AX_DOUBLE TOTAL RDPREFIX AX5_DOUBLE GT_5_AX_DOUBLE OUT ROUTE m SR OFFSET LT_6_AX_MULTI AX6_MULTI GT_6_AX_MULTI MP SEG TOTAL SRI ROAD TC_SPESUM STATIONID RECORDNUM ONTDIR CTDIR TRAFDIR COUNTLANE FROMUMT TC_15MINVOLCOUNT AM1 AM2 AM3 AM4 AM4 AM6 AM6 AM6 AM6 AM6 AM6 AM10 AM10 PM12 PM1 PM1 PM1 PM2 PM1 PM3 PM4 PM5 PM6 PM6 PM7 PM7 PM7 PM7 PM8 PM7 PM9 PM11 AM12 COUNTDATE COUNTDATE TOLMT DESCRIPTION RECORDNUM PM11 SOURCE COUNTDATE DIR COUNTTIME DIVIDED VOLCOUNT LASTAADT LASTRECNOKEY HPMS TYPE DATELASTCOUNTED TEMPRECNO TC. SPECOUNT WEATHER RECORDNUM IMPORTDATA COUNTDATE REQUESTITEMID COUNTTIME RDSUFFIX COUNTLANE REQUESTID **S1** 1010356360 RECCOM STAFFCOM AMPEAK PMPEAK LATITUDE 58 59 LONGITUDE 510 511 512 513 FACTOR AXLE OUTDIR INDIR SIDEWALK TOTAL OLDDIR CTDIR AADP 514 AADB OLDAADT AADT

New Schema

- Conforms to 2016 Traffic Monitoring Guide Standards
- Collects bicycle and pedestrian data
- Collects automated and manual count data
- Collects batched counts or individual observations



New User Interface

- Count management/ assignment
- Location management
- Data upload



| | Republic Res | - | | - | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|--|--------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|----------------------------------|------|--|
| Colore City Biller Counts | (dentity | in the | | Caluto Title Andra | in the second | den dan be | | |
| Santa Mission Energies | Courts Operflags Resig | Han Codar | | (11) 417, 2014 | anticipation in | | | |
| Nuclear December of | inerts Otroff Secta Marica | ResCarbos (R | | (110.417.3844 | - | and address of the second second | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Locations to Count \$4 | INTA MONICA DISCOME COUNTS | | | | | | | |
| Study 8 | Oxys of Blank | Earling # | Land B | Deation 1 | Internal II | Complete By 1 | | |
| Bundy little Pito & Occar | Park Har()In(Tha) Iat | - 600M | 1004 | 120-min | 25 min | Mar13,2038 | BELM | |
| Operpic tew 20th & 22m | f Res(Tax)Ref()(Sa | a 2004 | 63074 | 120 min | 25 mit | Mar 20, 2018 | NUM | |
| Wishin the Earlingon | a burdy fax PA Sat Sat | | 10044 | 120 min | 25 min | Mar 3, 2018 | - | |
| Facilities/Hows To Cau Facility # | et WILSHIE BTW BARRINGTON & BUNCY | fice 8 | | | | | | |
| North bile lane | North blie lane North blie lane North sidewalk | | Westmend blies | | | | | |
| North bile lane | | | Earthourd bles (wring way) | | | | | |
| North sidewalk | | | Westboard bles on sidewalk | | | | | |
| North sidewalk | North sidewalk | | Eactboard biles (wrang way) | | | | | |
| | MINING ALL AND A | | | | | | | |

Mobile Counter App

 Collect real time observational data



100%

次 241 六 87

UNDO

Additional Components

- ESRI data viewing and download portal
- Automated counter interface (API)



Bikeway Shapefile

| | | | Region | al Bikeways File Attribute | Inventory | _ | | _ | _ | _ |
|---------------------------------------|--|------------------------------|--------------|----------------------------|----------------|------|-------------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|
| Name | Description | Values | ATSP (METRO) | METRO PROPOSED | METRO EXISTING | OCTA | WRCOG: BACKBONE * | SCAG | NMTP: SANBAG | VENTURA CNTY |
| Dbject ID/ FID | GIS-specific object ID number | ID Number | х | x | х | х | x | х | x | х |
| Segment ID | ID number of bikeway segment | ID Number | | | X (BPID_1?) | | х | х | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Liass | Existing bikeway class type | 0-4 | X | <u>x</u> | * | X | | x | X | X |
| Status | Bikeway implementation status | Existing or Proposed/Planned | | x | X | x | | | X | |
| Facility Miles | sixeway segment length | Length in miles | X | x | X | X | x | X | X | X |
| Facility Width | Bikeway segment width | Width in feet | | | | | | | | |
| Proposed Class | Proposed bikeway class type | 0-4 | X | * | | X | | | | |
| Street/Path/On | Street that bikeway follows. | Street name | | x | X | x | | X (PARTIAL) | X | |
| Street From | Lateral street at/or nearest to where bikeway begins. | Street name | | x | x | | | X (PARTIAL) | x | |
| Street To | Lateral street at/or nearest to where bikeway ends. | Street name | | x | x | | | X (PARTIAL) | x | |
| Consider Common ID | Deventors of annulate source and its models Colleges 195 | Consider comment ID | | | | | | | | |
| Corridor Segment (D | Consider that billious fallows | corridor segment ID | ~ | | | | | | | |
| Corridor | Corridor that Dikeway follows. | corridor name | X | | | | | | | |
| Corridor From | Lateral corridor at/or nearest to where bikeway begins. | Corridor name | x | | | | | | | |
| Corridor To | Lateral corridor at/or nearest to where bikeway begins. | Corridor name | x | | | | | | | |
| Adopted Date | Date that proposed bikeway segment was formally adopted. | MM/DD/YR | | X (YR) | | | | | x | |
| installation Date | Date that bikeway segment was installed. | MM/DD/YR | | | x | | | | | |
| lurisdiction | Jurisdiction(s) in which bikeway segment is located. | Name of jurisduction(s) | x | x | x | x | | X (PARTIAL) | x | |
| UCOST | Estimated/actual per unit cost of bikeway segment Implementation . | Cost in dollars | | x | | | | | | |
| SCOST | Estimated/actual cost of complete bikeway segment implementation. | Cost in dollars | | x | | | | | × | |
| Plan Source | Name of Active Transportation or Bicycle Master Plan for jurisdiction in which bikeway segment is located. | Name of plan | x | × | | | | x | | |
| lurisdiction of Plan | Jurisdiction of Active Transportation or Bicycle Master Plan in which bikeway segment is located. | COG, County, or City name | | x | | | | x | | |
| Plan URL | Internet URL for Active Transportation or Bicycle Master Plan for jurisdiction in which bikeway segment is located. | URL | | | | | | x | | |
| Plan Stage | Plane stage of Active Transportation or Bicycle Master Plan for jurisdiction in which bikeway segment is located. | Adopted or Draft or N/A | | | | | | | | |
| Plan Date Adopted | Date on which Active Transportation or Bicycle Master Plan was adopted. | MM/DD/YR | | | | | | | | |
| ATP Application ID/SPG Application ID | f applicable, ID number of Active Transportation Program (ATP) or Sustainability Planning Grant (SPG) application for project in which bikeway segment is featured or included. | ID information | | | | | | | | |
| Project FTIP | If applicable, ID number of Federal Transportation Improvement Program (FTIP) project in which bikeway segment is featured or included. | FTIP number | | | | | | | | |
| | Supplemental information regarding proposed or | | | | | | | | | |
| Comment/Note | existing bikeway segment. | Notes | | | | | | | x | |

Bikeway Shapefile

- Standard metadata for all 6 counties
- Required in all future SCAG ATP contracts
- Will provide to Google and 3rd party every 2 years
- Will post to open data platform



Bikeway Shapefile

| | l ev | el of Traffic Stress Variables | | |
|------------|---------------------------|---|---|--------|
| | | | | |
| Fac_width | Facility Width | Bikeway segment width | Width in feet | Double |
| | | | | |
| | | Average daily traffic (ADT) on street on | | |
| ADT | Average Daily Traffic | which bikeway segment is located. | Average daily traffic number | Double |
| | | | | |
| | | Number of lanes on street on which | | |
| Num_Lanes | Number of lanes | bikeway segment is located. | Number of lanes | Long |
| | | | | |
| | | Posted speed limit of street on which | | |
| Speed_Imt | Speed limit | bikeway is located. | Speed limit in MPH | Short |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | None (no median or unprotected area | |
| | | | less than 4 feet wide), Uprotected (| |
| | | | more), or Curbed (Barrier or | |
| | | Presence/type of median on street on | mountable curbs with a minimum | o |
| Median_typ | Median Type | which bikeway segment is located. | height of 4 inches) | String |
| | | | | |
| | | Configuration of right (and left, if data is | | |
| | | available) lane approach toward | Single lane with length <75 ft, Single | |
| Turn_confg | Turn configuration | located. | or Other | String |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Int_Cntrl | Intersection control type | Control type of intersection | Signalized or Unsignalized | String |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | Slope of roadway segment, computed | | |
| Slope | Slope | from elevation data imported from USGS Digital Elevation Model | Slope as percentage or categorical values (low. medium. high. extreme) | String |

Active Transportation Health and Economic Impact Study

Rye Baerg Senior Regional Planner



Context





2016 RTPSCS

THE **2016-2040** REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION PLAN/ SUSTAINABLE COMMUNITIES STRATEGY A Plan for Mobility, Accessibility, Sustainability and a High Quality of Life

PROPOSED FINAL MARCH 2016

Current Chronic Disease Rates

FIGURE 8 Diabetes and Prediabetes by County 2011



Source: http://healthpolicu.ucla.edu/chis

FIGURE 9 Overweight and Obesity Trends by Year 2001-2011



Overweight Obese

FIGURE 10 Hypertension Trends by Year 2001-2011



Source: http://healthpolicy.ucla.edu/chis

FIGURE 11 Heart Disease by County 2011



Source: http://healthpolicy.ucla.edu/chis

Source: http://healthpolicy.ucla.edu/chis

Physical Activity

"Physical activity is the closest thing we have to a wonder drug. Being active is one of the most important things people of all ages, sizes, and shapes can do to improve their health." Dr. Thomas Frieden

Director of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)

Response to Stakeholders

Public Health Subcommittee

- 2. Provide robust public health data and information, as feasible, to better inform regional policy, the development of the 2016-2040 RTP/SCS, and support public health stakeholder participation.
 - To the extent feasible, include information in the following emphasis areas:
 - Monetary and health impacts of different plan alternatives
 - Physical activity
 - Emissions and exposure
 - Consider implementation of zero- and/or near-zero emissions vehicles
 - Safety
 - Health outcomes (for example, incidence of chronic disease) (Note: SCAG currently does not possess data or technical capacity to produce health outcomes).
 - Pursue feasible enhancements in data and analysis with regards to Environmental Justice report of RTP/SCS (for example, exposures and likely health issues).
 - Coordinate and provide data and technical foundation for potential regional public health policy and expanded performance measures, as feasible.

Next Steps:

Pursue scenario planning tool enhancements to include increased and dynamic public health data. Solicit technical review through technical working groups and other forums. Prepare final recommendations on plan methodologies, data and performance measures in advance of release of draft plan in late 2015.



City of Pasadena

County of Riverside Department of Public Health

Public Health Department

Santa Barbara County Public Health Department

County of San Diego Health and Human Services

Agency

City of Long Beach June 12, 2014

Carl Morehouse, SCAG President 818 West 7th Street, 12th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90017

Re: Incorporating Health and Social Determinants of Health into Scenario Planning and Evaluation

The Public Health Alliance of Southern California (Alliance) is a collaboration of local health departments in southern California. Our vision is that all Southern California communities are healthy, vibrant and sustainable places to live, work and play. We see the scenario development and evaluation process for the 2016 Regional Transportation Plan and Sustainable Community Strategy (RTP/SC) as an opportunity to develop a land use and transportation plan that advances this vision.

The Alliance commends SXAG for its June 6, 2013 adoption of the Public Health Subcommitter's recommendation, and for the participation of SXAG staff in Alliance working groups. Through these joint discussions we've worked together to identify the best mechanisms for the integration of public health outcomes into the 2015-2040 RTP/SSX. We look forward to continuing this dialogue as we work together to improve health outcomes for all of the people who live and work in the SXG-region.

Scenario Development:

The Aliance would like to partner with SCAG, the public, and other stakeholders: to develop a scenario focused on delivering the greatest health outcome improvements in our region. Research indicates that social determinants of health—the physical and social environments we live in-account for 70-80% of health—thealth outcomes¹, and that lower income communities have poorer health outcomes². Given the interrelation of income, commanity design and health outcomes². Building encourages the development of a scenario that improves health outcomes by advancing economic and social resiliency for low-income residents of the region.

¹ Mokdad AH, Marks JS, Stroup DF, Gerberding JL. Actual causes of death in the United States, 2000. IANA. 2004;29:1(10):1238--1245. Abstract available at: http://jama.jamanetwork.com/article.aspx?articleid=198357 ³ Health Inequities in the Bay Area, available at www.barhill.org.

3900 Fifth Avenue | Suite 310 | San Diego, California 92103 p. 619.291.9505 | f. 619.260.1510 | www.PHASoCal.org

California Public Health Assessment Model









Study Purpose

Goal: Estimate current annual public health, transportation and economic costs and benefits of bicycling and walking on the SCAG region's economy

Modeling Process



Physical Activity

Daily Trips in the SCAG Region by Mode







1.9 Million Hours of Daily Biking



139 Thousand

Hours of Daily Walking to Transit



Mode Choice – Total Trips Plan vs. Trend Baseline



Drive Alone Carpool Walking and Biking Transit

Note: These figures include additional improvements in walking and biking associated with the benefits of certain active transportation investments, which are analyzed as a supplement to SCAG's Regional Trip Based Model

Public Health Outcomes in 2040 – Adults Aged 18-65 **Plan vs. Trend Baseline**



* Results are for the new population in areas of the plan experiencing land use changes.

Current Costs to the Region



Current Costs to the Region



\$12.8 Billion

Total annual regional costs of diabetes, heart disease, and hypertension in ages 18-64. Seniors add an additional \$8.5 billion in health costs for the same conditions.

Current Infrastructure

\$488 Million

Estimated total annual physical activity health savings for adults and seniors due to avoided health care expenditures and increased productivity



Additional Savings from 2016 RTP/SCS Implementation

Predicted Annual Physical Activity Savings in 2040 for Adults (Age 18-64)



\$337 Million

Predicted annual physical activity savings in 2040 in adults ages 18-64 from full RTP implementation



2016 RTP/SCS Implementation

\$4.5 Billion

Overall, accumulated savings from reduced hypertension, diabetes, and heart disease in adults (ages 18-64) is predicted to be \$4.5 billion throughout the life of the RTP.

2016 RTP/SCS Implementation

RTP Active Transportation Investment Areas



Consumer Savings



Consumer Savings



2.3 Million

Estimated annual vehicle-miles traveled daily that could be eliminated in the year 2040 through RTP active transportation programming



\$976 Million

Potential annual savings in the year 2040 from estimated reduced vehicle-miles traveled

Regional Impact

Average Annual Economic Impacts due to Active Transportation





Employment (total jobs)

The total number of jobs associated with active transportation infrastructure spending and the associated health effects



Personal Income (\$B)

Employment total multiplied by average wages by position type



Sales Output (\$B)

Sales output discounted for prior stages of manufacturing that occurred outside the SCAG region.

/alue Added (\$B

The difference between retail sale prices and the cost to purchase the item being sold.

Benefits by Input

Sales Output Return Breakdown of 2016-2040 RTP/SCS



Thank you!

Rye Baerg Baerg@scag.ca.gov

